



**Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+);
Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS);
LTE;
Evaluation of High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)
for 3GPP services
(3GPP TR 26.906 version 13.0.0 Release 13)**



Reference

RTR/TSGS-0426906vd00

Keywords

GSM,LTE,UMTS

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:
<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at
<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:
<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2016.
All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE™** are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.
GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under <http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp>.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	2
Foreword.....	2
Modal verbs terminology.....	2
Foreword.....	5
1 Scope	6
2 References	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	7
3.1 Definitions	7
3.2 Abbreviations	7
4 Introduction	8
5 Overview of H.265 (HEVC)	8
5.1 Key coding-tool features of H.265 (HEVC) and differences versus H.264 (AVC)	8
5.2 Complexity of H.265 (HEVC)	10
5.3 Systems and transport interfaces of H.265 (HEVC) and differences versus H.264 (AVC)	10
5.4 H.265 (HEVC) for image coding	13
6 Test case definitions	14
6.1 Introduction	14
6.2 Test cases for evaluation of H.265 (HEVC) for video coding.....	14
6.2.1 Generic test cases.....	14
6.2.2 Test sequences and codec software.....	15
6.2.2.1 Test sequences.....	15
6.2.2.1.1 Down-sampling filter.....	15
6.2.2.2 Codec software.....	16
6.2.3 Quality evaluation metrics	16
6.2.4 Complexity analysis.....	17
6.2.5 Test conditions for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS.....	17
6.2.5.1 General testing settings	17
6.2.5.2 Test sequences.....	17
6.2.5.3 Encoding settings	17
6.2.6 Test conditions for MMS	18
6.2.6.1 General testing settings	18
6.2.6.2 Test sequences.....	18
6.2.6.3 Encoding settings	18
6.2.7 Test conditions for MTSI.....	19
6.2.7.1 General testing settings	19
6.2.7.2 Test sequences.....	19
6.2.7.3 Encoding settings	19
6.3 Test cases for evaluation of H.265 (HEVC) for image coding.....	20
6.3.1 Codec software	20
6.3.2 Test sequences	21
6.3.3 Encoding settings.....	21
6.3.4 Evaluation metrics	21
7 Test results for video coding	21
7.1 Introduction	21
7.2 Summaries of the first set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS	21
7.3 Summaries of the second set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS.....	22
7.4 Summaries of the third set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS	26
7.4.1 Test setup.....	26
7.4.2 Test summaries	27
7.5 Subjective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS	27
7.5.1 Test setup.....	28

7.5.1.1	Test material.....	28
7.5.1.2	Display by terminal.....	28
7.5.1.3	Test conditions.....	29
7.5.1.4	Subjective test procedure.....	29
7.5.1.5	Test methodology.....	29
7.5.1.6	Test design.....	30
7.5.1.7	Test environment.....	30
7.5.1.8	MOS test tool.....	31
7.5.1.9	Test devices.....	31
7.5.1.10	Test subjects.....	31
7.5.2	Subjective test results.....	31
7.5.2.1	Smartphone results.....	31
7.5.2.2	Tablet results.....	36
7.5.3	Summary of the subjective tests.....	38
7.6	Summaries of subjective test results for MTSI.....	39
7.6.1	Introduction.....	39
7.6.2	Test setup.....	39
7.6.2.1	Test material.....	39
7.6.2.2	Video codecs.....	40
7.6.2.3	Display by terminal.....	40
7.6.2.4	Test conditions.....	40
7.6.2.5	Subjective test procedure.....	40
7.6.2.6	Test methodology.....	40
7.6.2.7	Test environment.....	41
7.6.2.8	MOS test tool.....	41
7.6.2.9	Test devices.....	41
7.6.2.10	Test subjects.....	41
7.6.3	Subjective test results.....	41
7.6.3.1	Smartphone results.....	41
7.6.3.2	Tablet results.....	48
7.6.4	Summary of the subjective tests.....	55
7.7	Summaries of objective test results for MMS and MTSI.....	55
7.7.1	Results for MMS.....	56
7.7.2	Results for MTSI.....	59
7.7.2.1	H.265 (HEVC) Main profile vs. H.264 (AVC) Constrained Baseline profile.....	59
7.7.2.2	H.265 (HEVC) Main profile vs. H.264 (AVC) High profile.....	62
8	Test results for image coding.....	65
9	Conclusions.....	65
9.1	H.265 (HEVC) for video coding.....	65
9.1.1	3GP-DASH.....	65
9.1.2	PSS.....	65
9.1.3	MBMS.....	65
9.1.4	MMS.....	65
9.1.5	MTSI.....	66
9.1.6	IMS Messaging and Presence.....	66
9.2	H.265 (HEVC) for image coding.....	66
Annex A:	Change history.....	67
History.....		68

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

1 Scope

The present document reports the evaluation of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) codec in 3GPP services. It provides an overview of the codec and a comparison to H.264 (AVC) codec. The support of H.264 (AVC) is mandated for 3GP-DASH (TS 26.247 [18]), PSS (TS 26.234 [19]), MBMS (TS 26.346 [20]), 3GPP file format (TS 26.244 [21]), MTSI (TS 26.114 [22]) and MMS (TS 26.140 [23]) in Release 11. The present document reports on the performance of H.265 (HEVC) when used in 3GPP services for video coding in comparison to H.264 (AVC) and the performance of H.265 (HEVC) when used in 3GPP services for image coding in comparison to JPEG. Performance is evaluated in typical 3GPP service environments taking into account bandwidth and coding efficiency, user experience and complexity. Based on the performance results, recommendations are provided for the proper inclusion of H.265 (HEVC) in 3GPP services.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

- [1] 3GPP TR 21 905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".
- [2] Sullivan, G. J.; Ohm, J.-R.; Han, W.-J.; Wiegand T., "Overview of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) Standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1649-1668, Dec. 2012.
- [3] Bossen, F.; Bross, B.; Suhring, K.; Flynn D., "HEVC Complexity and Implementation Analysis," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1685-1696, Dec. 2012.
- [4] Vanne, J.; Viitanen, M.; Hamalainen, T. D.; Hallapuro A., "Comparative Rate-Distortion-Complexity Analysis of HEVC and AVC Video Cdecs," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1885-1898, Dec. 2012.
- [5] F. Bosen, "On software complexity," document JCTVC-G757 of JCT-VC, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2011.
- [6] F. Bosen, "On software complexity: decoding 720p content on a tablet," document JCTVC-J0128 of JCT-VC, Stockholm, Sweden, Jul. 2012.
- [7] K. McCann, J.-Y. Choi, e al, "HEVC software player demonstration on mobile devices," document JCTVC-G988 of JCT-VC, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2011.
- [8] K. Veera, R. Ganguly, e al, "A real-time ARM HEVC decoder implementation," document JCTVC-H0693 of JCT-VC, José, CA, USA, Feb. 2012.
- [9] J.-R. Ohm, G. J. Sullivan, H. Schwarz, T. K. Tan, and T. Wiegand, "Comparison of the coding efficiency of video coding standards – including high efficiency video coding (HEVC)," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, December 2012.
- [10] V. Baroncini, G. J. Sullivan, and J.-R. Ohm, "Report on preliminary subjective testing of HEVC compression capability," document JCTVC-H1004 of JCT-VC, San José, USA, Feb. 2012.
- [11] Y. Zhao, et al, "Coding efficiency comparison between HM5.0 and JM16.2 based on PQI, PSNR and SSIM," document JCTVC-H0063 of JCT-VC, San José, USA, Feb. 2012.