

Process Safety Performance Indicators for the Refining and Petrochemical Industries

Downstream Segment

ANSI/API RECOMMENDED PRACTICE 754
FIRST EDITION, APRIL 2010



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE



Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Copyright © 2010 American Petroleum Institute

Foreword

The purpose of this recommended practice (RP) is to identify leading and lagging indicators in the refining and petrochemical industries for nationwide public reporting as well as indicators for use at individual facilities including methods for the development and use of performance indicators. A comprehensive leading and lagging indicators program provides useful information for driving improvement and when acted upon contributes to reducing risks of major hazards (e.g. by identifying the underlying causes and taking action to prevent recurrence). This RP may augment a Company's existing practices and procedures.

This RP cannot and does not preempt any federal, state, or local laws regulating process safety. Therefore, nothing contained in this document is intended to alter or determine a Company's compliance responsibilities set forth in the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 and/or the OSHA standards themselves, or any other legal or regulatory requirement concerning process safety. The use of the term or concept "process safety" in this document is independent of and may in fact be broader than the term or concept "process safety" contained in OSHA regulatory requirements, or as the term may be used in other legal or regulatory contexts. In the event of conflict between this recommended practice and any OSHA or other legal requirements, the OSHA or other legal requirements should be fully implemented.

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a recommended practice, "shall" denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the RP.

Should: As used in a recommended practice, "should" denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the RP.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API recommended practices are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

Contents

Page

1	Scope	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Applicability	1
1.3	Guiding Principles	2
1.4	Introduction	2
2	Normative References	3
3	Terms, Definitions, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	3
3.1	Terms and Definitions	3
3.2	Acronyms and Abbreviations	7
4	Leading and Lagging Performance Indicators	7
5	Tier 1 Performance Indicator—Process Safety Event (T-1 PSE)	8
5.1	Tier 1 Indicator Purpose	8
5.2	Tier 1 Indicator Definition and Consequences	8
5.3	Calculation of Tier 1 PSE Rate	9
5.4	Optional Tier 1 PSE Severity Rate	9
6	Tier 2 Performance Indicator—Process Safety Events (T-2 PSE)	11
6.1	Tier 2 Indicator Purpose	11
6.2	Tier 2 Indicator Definition and Consequences	11
6.3	Calculation of Tier 2 PSE Rate	11
7	Tier 3 Performance Indicators—Challenges to Safety Systems	13
7.1	Purpose of Indicator	13
7.2	Examples of Tier 3 PSEs	13
8	Tier 4 Performance Indicators—Operating Discipline & Management System Performance	16
8.1	Purpose of Indicator	16
8.2	Examples of Tier 4 Indicators	16
9	Guidelines for Selection of Process Safety Indicators	17
9.1	General	17
9.2	Purpose of Indicators	18
9.3	Lagging versus Leading Indicators	18
9.4	Characteristics of Effective Indicators	18
9.5	Selection of Indicators	19
10	Reporting Performance Indicators	19
10.1	Format and Forum	19
10.2	Transparency	19
10.3	Stakeholder	20
10.4	PSE Data Capture	21
	Annex A (informative) Examples	26
	Annex B (informative) Listing of Chemicals Sorted by Threshold Quantity	34
	Annex C (informative) Decision Logic Tree	37
	Bibliography	38

Figures

1	“Swiss Cheese (Static) Model” and “Spinning Disk (Dynamic) Model”	2
2	Process Safety Indicator Pyramid	8
3	Example of Safe Operating Limit for Tank Level	14
B.1	Inhalation Toxicity: Packing Group and Hazard Zones	36

Tables

1	Tier 1 Material Release Threshold Quantities	10
2	Tier 2 Material Release Threshold Quantities	12
3	Stakeholder Report Information	20

Notes to First Edition

The purpose of this RP is to identify leading and lagging process safety performance indicators in the refining and petrochemical industries for nationwide public reporting as well as indicators for use at individual facilities including methods for the development and use of performance indicators. A comprehensive leading and lagging indicators program provides useful information for driving improvement and when acted upon, contributes to reducing risks of major hazards (e.g. by identifying the underlying causes and taking action to prevent recurrence).

In developing this document, the drafting committee focused solely on indicators of process safety performance versus indicators of health, personal safety or environmental performance. Each is important and each should have its own performance indicators as part of a comprehensive and robust facility Health, Safety and Environmental program. Process safety hazards can result in major accidents involving the release of potentially dangerous materials. Process safety incidents can have catastrophic effects such as multiple injuries and fatalities, as well as substantial economic, property, and environmental damage; and can affect workers inside the facility and members of the public who reside or work nearby.

In developing this recommended practice, numerous issues including process safety indicator definitions, chemical release thresholds, data capture, statistical validity, and public reporting were considered. As it relates to chemical release threshold quantities (TQs), it is desirable to aggregate chemicals or mixtures into groupings of similar relative risks. The drafting committee chose to utilize the US DOT version of the United Nations Dangerous Goods (UNDG) hazard classification system for these groupings. A number of alternative approaches were considered (e.g. GHS, NFPA, IDLH, and ERPG), yet the DOT version of UNDG was determined to be most appropriate given that this system was unique in the treatment of toxic chemicals in terms of both relative toxicity and relative volatility to produce a more accurate ranking of relative process safety hazards.

The committee leveraged the work of others in developing this recommended practice and benefited from the lessons learned from implementation of the concepts, definitions and reporting mechanisms associated with these earlier works. These works include the following.

- American Petroleum Institute: “API Guide to Report Process Safety Incidents, Version 1.2”, Washington, D.C. 2008. [1]
- Center for Chemical Process Safety: “Process Safety Leading and Lagging Metrics”, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, 2008. [4]
- UK Health and Safety Executive: “Step-by-Step Guide to Developing Process Safety Performance Indicators, HSG254”, Sudbury, Suffolk, UK, 2006. [9]

It is anticipated that this RP will yield process safety performance improvement; however, the actual results can only be determined through its use.

Due to the entirely new nature of this RP, it is anticipated that the first revision cycle will commence after two complete years of data collection. While a revision may address any aspect of the RP, the first revision will specifically evaluate beginning transparent public reporting of Tier 1 and Tier 2 process safety indicators. Public reporting of Tier 1 and Tier 2 data may not occur for the first few years while the recommended practice is being implemented and the quality of the data is being validated.

Process Safety Performance Indicators for the Refining and Petrochemical Industries

1 Scope

1.1 General

This recommended practice (RP) identifies leading and lagging process safety indicators useful for driving performance improvement. As a framework for measuring activity, status or performance, this document classifies process safety indicators into four tiers of leading and lagging indicators. Tiers 1 and 2 are suitable for nationwide public reporting and Tiers 3 and 4 are intended for internal use at individual sites. Guidance on methods for development and use of performance indicators is also provided.

1.2 Applicability ¹

This RP was developed for the refining and petrochemical industries, but may also be applicable to other industries with operating systems and processes where loss of containment has the potential to cause harm. Applicability is not limited to those facilities covered by the OSHA Process Safety Management Standard, 29 *CFR* 1910.119 or similar national and international regulations.

At colocated facilities (e.g. industrial park), this recommended practice applies individually to the companies that own and operate the processes and not to the site as a whole.

Events associated with the following activities fall outside the scope of this RP and shall not be included in data collection or reporting efforts:

- a) releases from pipeline transfer operations occurring outside the process or storage facility fence line;
- b) marine transport operations, except when the vessel is connected to the process for the purposes of feedstock or product transfer;
- c) truck or rail operations, except when the truck or rail car is connected to the process for the purposes of feedstock or product transfer, or if the truck or rail car is being used for on site storage;
- d) vacuum truck operations, except on-site truck loading or discharging operations, or use of the vacuum truck transfer pump;
- e) routine emissions that are allowable under permit or regulation;
- f) office, shop and warehouse building events (e.g. office fires, spills, personnel injury or illness, etc.);
- g) personal safety events (e.g. slips, trips, falls) that are not directly associated with on-site response to a loss of primary containment (LOPC) event;
- h) LOPC events from ancillary equipment not connected to the process (e.g. small sample containers);
- i) quality assurance (QA), quality control (QC) and research and development (R&D) laboratories (pilot plants are included);
- j) retail service stations; and
- k) on-site fueling operations of mobile and stationary equipment (e.g. pick-up trucks, diesel generators, and heavy equipment).

¹ At joint venture sites and tolling operations, the Company should encourage the joint venture or tolling operation to consider applying this RP.