

American Nuclear Society

**verification and validation of radiological
data for use in waste management
and environmental remediation**

an American National Standard

REAFFIRMED

September 7, 2023

October 19, 2018

ANSI/ANS-41.5-2012 (R2023)

This standard has been reviewed and reaffirmed with the recognition that it may reference other standards and documents that may have been superseded or withdrawn. The requirements of this document will be met by using the version of the standards and documents referenced herein. It is the responsibility of the user to review each of the references and to determine whether the use of the original references or more recent versions is appropriate for the facility. Variations from the standards and documents referenced in this standard should be evaluated and documented. This standard does not necessarily reflect recent industry initiatives for risk informed decision-making or a graded approach to quality assurance. Users should consider the use of these industry initiatives in the application of this standard.



**published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

**American National Standard
Verification and Validation of Radiological Data for
Use in Waste Management and Environmental Remediation**

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the
**American Nuclear Society
Standards Committee
Working Group ANS-41.5**

Published by the
**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Approved February 15, 2012
by the
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Copyright © 2012 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read “Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-41.5-2012 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society.” Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Inquiry Requests

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only, and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS’s magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly on the ANS Web site or by contacting the ANS Standards Administrator.

Inquiry Format

Inquiry requests must include the following:

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure, and/or table;
- (3) the purposes of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to

American Nuclear Society
ATTN: Standards Administrator
555 N. Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, IL 60526

or standards@ans.org

Foreword

(This Foreword is not part of American National Standard “Verification and Validation of Radiological Data for Use in Waste Management and Environmental Remediation,” ANSI/ANS-41.5-2012.)

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Nuclear Facilities Standards Committee (NFSC) is responsible for development of American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards for nuclear facilities, including criteria and operations required for environmental remediation of nuclear facility sites that have become contaminated. The ANS Subcommittee on Decommissioning and Site Remediation Standards manages the development and maintenance of standards that address the cleanup of radioactive materials and radioactivity mixed with hazardous substances. This subcommittee has authorized a working group to develop a new ANSI/ANS standard, ANSI/ANS-41.5-2012, for verification and validation of data from radiological analysis supportive of waste management and environmental remediation. The verification process will be called compliance verification in this standard. Compliance verification may involve compliance to written analytical specifications (e.g., statement of work, contract, project plans) but may also involve compliance to programmatic or project-specific requirements. Therefore, this standard does not assume or require that the laboratory work be performed under contract.

This standard provides requirements and recommended practices for determining the validity of radioanalytical data for waste management and environmental remediation. These applications will include site characterization, waste acceptance, waste certification, waste treatment design, process control, litigation, and other applications as deemed necessary. This standard will provide a minimum set of checks and tests that will ensure a consistent approach for compliance verification and validation of data produced by any radioanalytical laboratory. This standard should eliminate many of the inconsistencies in the approaches, evaluation algorithms, parameters evaluated, and qualifiers used in existing site-specific data compliance verification and validation programs.

The requirements of this standard apply only to independent compliance verification and validation processes and should not be construed to apply to any actions taken by laboratories to internally generate or review data, including audits and performance evaluation studies. Other standards are available that provide quality and performance requirements for radioanalytical laboratories [e.g., ANSI N42.23-1996 (R2003), “Measurement and Associated Instrumentation Quality Assurance for Radioassay Laboratories”]. However, this standard expects that certain laboratory quality control (QC) and programmatic quality assurance (QA) measures have taken place that feed data for review by the data verifiers and validators. The interface of these QA/QC measures with the compliance verification and validation process will be discussed in Sec. 3 of this standard. Since this standard does not place requirements on laboratories or on waste management and environmental remediation programs, the points of interface in Sec. 3 are necessarily listed as only recommendations, and all requirements are contained in Secs. 4 through 7 of this standard.

While this standard will provide a minimum set of checks and tests for compliance verification and validation of data, the acceptance criteria for the test and checks are intentionally not provided in most cases. This is because each waste management or environmental remediation program or project may have unique measurement quality objectives (MQOs) based on the intended use of the data. This standard has been developed with the assumption that a proper data quality objective (DQO) process has been used by the project to define the quality of data needed for the decision process and to develop corresponding MQOs of

accuracy, precision, sensitivity, selectivity, and representativeness to be met. Therefore, set limits for QC parameters and many other acceptance criteria will not be recommended in the standard, but rather the user will be referred to the limits established by the DQO process. This approach will allow data qualification to be based on how factors such as error, bias, lack of precision, lack of sensitivity, or lack of selectivity affect the decision process. The DQO process should also provide guidance for the frequency, percentage, and extent of data validation. This standard will incorporate an evaluation of data end use and action levels throughout the qualification process. This approach will prevent unnecessary rejection of data for minor quality problems.

This standard contains four appendices, which are informative and contain additional description of the relationship of the programmatic and laboratory QA/QC measures with the compliance verification and validation processes. This foreword is not part of the standard.

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in Sec. 8, "References," that provides guidance on the use of references.

This standard does not incorporate the concepts of generating risk-informed insights, performance-based requirements, or a graded approach to QA. The user is advised that one or more of these techniques could enhance the application of this standard.

The working group would like to gratefully acknowledge the contributions by Jason C. Jang, who died prior to the completion of this standard.

This standard was submitted for approval by the ANS-41.5 Working Group. The ANS-41.5 Working Group had the following members:

S. R. Salaymeh (Chair), *Savannah River National Laboratory (Retired)*
T. L. Rucker (Co-Chair), *Science Applications International Corporation*

A. E. Rosecrance, *Oilfield Environmental Compliance*
D. E. McCurdy, *Independent Technical Consultant*
J. E. Chambers, *Fluor-B&W Portsmouth*
D. W. Poyer, *U.S. Army Center for Health Prevention and Preventive Medicine*
C. King Liu, *U.S. Department of Energy*
J. G. Griggs, *U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*
J. C. Jang, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
P. D. Greenlaw, *U.S. Department of Homeland Security*

The membership of Subcommittee ANS-23 at the time of its review and approval of this standard was as follows:

D. R. Eggett (Chair), *Automated Engineering Services Corporation*

S. Aggarwal, *New Millennium Nuclear Technologies*
E. Elliott, *Bechtel Jacobs*
R. Holm, *University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign*
S. Salaymeh, *Savannah River National Laboratory*
R. R. Seitz, *Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory*
M. P. Shannon, *U.S. Military Academy at West Point*

The NFSC had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

C. A. Mazzola (Chair), *Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Incorporated*
R. M. Ruby (Vice Chair), *Constellation Energy Company*

J. A. August, *CORE, Incorporated*
W. H. Bell, *South Carolina Electric & Gas Company*

J. R. Brault, *Shaw MOX Project*
C. K. Brown, *Southern Nuclear Operating Company*
K. R. Bryson, *Shaw Environmental, Incorporated*
C. E. Carpenter, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
(Alt. A. Hull, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*)
D. R. Eggett, *Automated Engineering Services Corporation*
R. W. Englehart, *Individual*
P. K. Guha, *U.S. Department of Energy*
(Alt. J. O'Brien, *U.S. Department of Energy*)
P. S. Hastings, *Duke Energy Company (NuStart Liaison)*
R. A. Hill, *ERIN Engineering and Research, Incorporated*
N. P. Kadambi, *Individual*
M. A. Linn, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory*
E. M. Lloyd, *Exitech Corporation*
S. A. Lott, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
R. H. McFetridge, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*
(Alt. L. R. Grobmyer, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*)
T. K. Meneely, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*
(Alt. R. C. Surman, *Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC*)
C. H. Moseley, *ASME NQA Liaison*
D. G. Newton, *AREVA NP*
W. B. Reuland, *Individual*
J. C. Saldarini, *Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC*
(Alt. A. T. Vieira, *Bechtel Power Corporation*)
(Alt. D. W. Wu, *Bechtel Power Corporation*)
D. J. Spellman, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory (NFSC Liaison to Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Nuclear Power Engineering Committee)*
S. L. Stamm, *Shaw Nuclear Services*
J. D. Stevenson, *Individual*
J. A. Wehrenberg, *Southern Company Services*
M. J. Wright, *Individual*
L. M. Zull, *Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*

NFSC Liaison:

G. Hutcherson, *Institute of Nuclear Power Operations*
J. H. Riley, *Nuclear Energy Institute*
(Alt. J. C. Butler, *Nuclear Energy Institute*)

NFSC Observers:

R. H. Bryan, *Tennessee Valley Authority*
E. P. Loewen, *General Electric*
J. E. Love, *Bechtel Power Corporation*
C. D. Thomas, *Individual*

Contents	Section	Page
	1 Purpose and scope	1
	1.1 Purpose	1
	1.2 Scope	1
	2 Acronyms and definitions	1
	2.1 List of acronyms	1
	2.2 Definitions	2
	3 General principles	5
	3.1 Data life cycle	5
	3.2 Planning documents	5
	3.3 Data validation plan	6
	3.4 Audit items germane to the validation process	6
	3.5 Use of external PE program results	6
	3.6 Compliance verification	6
	3.7 Validation	6
	4 Sample-specific parameters	7
	4.1 Sample preservation	7
	4.1.1 Audit information	7
	4.1.2 Compliance verification	7
	4.1.3 Validation	8
	4.2 Holding times	8
	4.2.1 Audit information	8
	4.2.2 Compliance verification	8
	4.2.3 Validation	8
	4.3 Sample-specific chemical yield	8
	4.3.1 Audit information	8
	4.3.2 Compliance verification	8
	4.3.3 Validation	9
	4.4 Required detection level	9
	4.4.1 Audit information	9
	4.4.2 Compliance verification	9
	4.4.3 Validation	9
	4.5 Nuclide identification	9
	4.5.1 Audit information	9
	4.5.2 Compliance verification	10
	4.5.3 Validation	10
	4.6 Quantification and CSU	10
	4.6.1 Audit information	10
	4.6.2 Compliance verification	11
	4.6.3 Validation	11
	4.7 Detectability	12
	4.7.1 Audit	12
	4.7.2 Compliance verification	12
	4.7.3 Validation	12
	4.8 Sample aliquot representativeness	12
	4.8.1 Audit information	12
	4.8.2 Compliance verification	12
	4.8.3 Validation	13
	5 Batch control parameters	13
	5.1 LCS analysis	13

5.1.1	Audit information	13
5.1.2	Compliance verification	13
5.1.3	Validation	13
5.2	Matrix spike analysis	13
5.2.1	Audit information	14
5.2.2	Compliance verification	14
5.2.3	Validation	14
5.3	Duplicate and MSD sample analysis	14
5.3.1	Audit information	14
5.3.2	Compliance verification	15
5.3.3	Validation	15
5.4	Batch method blank analysis	15
5.4.1	Compliance verification	15
5.4.2	Validation	15
6	Instrument parameters	15
6.1	Counting efficiency calibration	15
6.1.1	Audit information	16
6.1.2	Compliance verification	16
6.1.3	Validation	16
6.2	Energy calibration	17
6.2.1	Audit information	17
6.2.2	Compliance verification	17
6.2.3	Validation	17
6.3	Background determination	18
6.3.1	Audit information	18
6.3.2	Compliance verification	18
6.3.3	Validation	18
7	Personnel qualifications	19
7.1	Verifier	19
7.2	Validator	19
7.3	Auditor	19
8	References	19
 Appendices		
Appendix A	Discussion of Data Life Cycle and Use of Supporting Documents and Information	20
Appendix B	Recommended Validation Report Contents	28
Appendix C	Discussion of Compliance Verification and Validation Parameters	30
Appendix D	Explanation of Equations for Verifying Compliance to Required Sample-Specific Detection Level	37
Appendix E	Explanation of Equations for Decision Level and Detection Decisions	42
 Figures		
Figure A.1	Data life cycle	20
Figure D.1	Percent contribution of Poisson uncertainty to the CSU ...	39
Figure D.2	Theoretical relationship of LLD/standard deviation of net counts as a function derived from $LLD = 2.71 + 4.65 \times (BKG \text{ counts})^{1/2}$	40