



Validation of Neutron Transport Methods for Nuclear Criticality Safety Calculations

REAFFIRMED

January 3, 2023

ANSI/ANS-8.24-2017 (R2023)

An American National Standard

This standard has been reviewed and reaffirmed with the recognition that it may reference other standards and documents that may have been superseded or withdrawn. The requirements of this document will be met by using the version of the standards and documents referenced herein. It is the responsibility of the user to review each of the references and to determine whether the use of the original references or more recent versions is appropriate for the facility. Variations from the standards and documents referenced in this standard should be evaluated and documented.

This standard does not necessarily reflect recent industry initiatives for risk informed decision-making or a graded approach to quality assurance. Users should consider the use of these industry initiatives in the application of this standard.

Published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 N. Kensington Ave
La Grange Park, IL 60526



ANSI/ANS-8.24-2017

**American National Standard
Validation of Neutron Transport Methods
for Nuclear Criticality Safety Calculations**

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the
**American Nuclear Society
Standards Committee
Working Group ANS-8.24**

Published by the
**American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA**

Approved December 12, 2017
by the
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) should be sent to the Scientific Publications and Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA



This document is copyright protected.

Copyright © 2017 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read “Extracted from American National Standard ANS/ANS-8.24-2017 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society.” Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Inquiry Requests

The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., “shall,” “should,” and “may,” respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS’s magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly on the ANS website or by contacting the Scientific Publications and Standards Department.

Inquiry Format

Inquiry requests shall include the following:

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure, and/or table;
- (3) the purpose(s) of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear, concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to

American Nuclear Society
Scientific Publications and Standards Department
555 N. Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, IL 60526

or standards@ans.org

Foreword (This foreword is not a part of American National Standard “Validation of Neutron Transport Methods for Nuclear Criticality Safety Calculations,” ANSI/ANS-8.24-2017, but is included for informational purposes.)

This standard provides additional details beyond those contained in ANSI/ANS-8.1-1998 (R2007) (W2014), “Nuclear Criticality Safety in Operations with Fissionable Materials Outside Reactors,” concerning validation of computer-based neutron transport methods used in nuclear criticality safety analyses. A standard on validation for nuclear criticality safety was originally issued as ANSI N16.9/ANS-8.11-1975 (W1983), “Validation of Calculational Methods for Nuclear Criticality Safety.” Upon its withdrawal, the guidance of this standard was subsumed into ANSI/ANS-8.1-1983 (R1988) (W1998), “Nuclear Criticality Safety in Operations with Fissionable Materials Outside Reactors.” Due to a greater reliance on computer calculations in criticality safety applications in recent years, a separate standard describing the requirements for the validation of computer-based neutron transport methods was again deemed needed. This need led to the development of ANSI/ANS-8.24-2007 (R2012) (W2017), and detailed guidance on validation was removed from ANSI/ANS-8.1 upon its revision as ANSI/ANS-8.1-2014.

The existing database of critical experiments used in validation was developed largely in a period when the fissile material operations and technical criteria were different from many of the current and planned operations involving fissile material. As the number of experiments that focus on current and planned operations has decreased, the industry need to optimize operations and reduce unnecessary conservatism has increased. Thus, the scrutiny and importance placed on validation has increased in recent years. This standard provides requirements and recommendations on proper validation processes and techniques for computer-based neutron transport calculational methods. The ANS-8.24 working group has used its experience, results of conferences on area of applicability and validation, and outside experts to expand on the concepts identified in ANSI/ANS-8.1-1998 (R2007) (W2014). The current revision incorporates user feedback received since the initial issuance in 2007 and comments received during its 2012 reaffirmation. Specific changes include addressing the situation where few or no critical benchmark experiments are available and the potential need for the use of other data beyond critical and exponential experiments, clarifying definitions of bias and other terms, the treatment of apparent outliers, the use of sensitivity/uncertainty methodology in justifying subcritical margin, and the use of correlated experiments. The appendices and references have also been updated.

ANSI/ANS-8.1-2014, “Nuclear Criticality Safety in Operations with Fissionable Materials Outside Reactors,” describes in Sec. 4.3 the necessity for validation. For validation of neutron transport methods, ANSI/ANS-8.1-2014 defers to ANSI/ANS-8.24-2007 (R2012) (W2017).

This standard was prepared by the ANS-8.24 Working Group. The following members contributed to this standard:

L. L. Wetzel (Chair), *BWX Technologies, Inc.*

R. D. Busch, *University of New Mexico*

S. H. Finfrock, *Savannah River Nuclear Solutions*

C. E. Gross, *Paschal Solutions, Inc.*

J. E. Hicks, *Individual*

K. D. Kimball, *Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC*

C. V. Parks, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory*

A. W. Prichard, *Pacific Northwest National Laboratory*

C. S. Tripp, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*

E. F. Trumble, *URS Professional Solutions, LLC*

Subcommittee ANS-8, Fissionable Materials Outside Reactors, had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

B. O. Kidd (Chair), *Paschal Solutions, Inc.*
M. J. Crouse (Secretary), *Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC*

J. S. Baker, *Savannah River Nuclear Solutions*
M. Barnett, *URS Professional Solutions, LLC*
D. G. Bowen, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory*
E. P. Elliott, *Los Alamos National Laboratory*
D. G. Erickson, *Savannah River Nuclear Solutions*
K. D. Kimball, *Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC*
D. N. Kupferer, *Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board*
T. P. McLaughlin, *Individual*
S. P. Monahan, *Sandia National Laboratories*
J. A. Morman, *Argonne National Laboratory*
L. E. Paulson, *GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy*
H. Toffer, *Fluor Enterprises, Inc.*
C. S. Tripp, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
D. D. Winstanley, *Sellafield, Ltd.*

The Nuclear Criticality Safety Consensus Committee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

L. L. Wetzel (Chair), *BWX Technologies, Inc.*
W. R. Shackelford (Vice Chair), *Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc.*

R. W. Bartholomay, *C.S. Engineering, Inc.*
L. J. Berg, *U.S. Department of Energy*
D. G. Bowen, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory*
R. D. Busch, *University of New Mexico*
W. Doane, *AREVA Inc.*
R. S. Eby, *AIChE (Employed by Navarro Research & Engineering)*
C. M. Hopper, *Individual*
R. A. Knief, *INMM Rep. (Employed by Sandia National Laboratories)*
T. Marenchin, *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission*
J. A. Miller, *Sandia National Laboratories*
S. P. Murray, *HPS Rep. (Employed by General Electric)*
R. G. Taylor, *C.S. Engineering, Inc.*
R. M. Westfall (Observer), *Individual*
R. E. Wilson, *U.S. Department of Energy*

Contents

Section	Page
1 Introduction	1
2 Scope	1
3 Definitions	1
3.1 Limitations	1
3.2 Shall, should, and may	1
3.3 Glossary of terms	1
4 Computer code system.....	2
5 Selection and modeling of benchmarks	3
6 Establishment of bias, bias uncertainty, and margins	4
6.1 Methods and data	4
6.2 Trending.....	4
6.3 Weighting and rejection of data	5
6.4 Margin of subcriticality.....	5
7 Applicability of the validation	5
8 Documentation and independent technical review	6
9 References	7
 Appendices	
Appendix A Examples of Physical and Derived Parameters.....	8
Appendix B Sources of Information on Experiments	10
Appendix C Annotated Bibliography for Use in Validation of Computational Methods for Criticality Safety	12
Appendix D Validation Example	16
 Tables	
Table D.1 Process parameters and data	17
Table D.2 Parameters of benchmark experiments	18
Table D.3 Calculation results for the benchmark experiments	19
Table D.4 Comparison of benchmark applicability and process parameters	20
 Figures	
Figure D.1 Benchmark results plotted against average lethargy energy causing fission	21
Figure D.2 Benchmark results plotted against uranium concentration	21
Figure D.3 Benchmark results plotted against moderation ratio ($H/^{235}\text{U}$)	22
Figure D.4 Validation results showing the calculational margin and the margin of subcriticality.....	24

