American Nuclear Society

WITHDRAWN

August 23, 1994 ANSI/ANS-58.8-1984 time response design criteria for nuclear safety related operator actions

an American National Standard

No longer being maintained as an American National Standard. This standard may contain outdated material or may have been superseded by another standard. Please contact the ANS Standards Administrator for details.



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

American National Standard Time Response Design Criteria for Nuclear Safety Related Operator Actions

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-58.8

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved September 14, 1984 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. An American National Standard is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an American National Standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. American National Standards are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be reviewed or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of publication. Purchasers of this standard may receive current information, including interpretation, on all standards published by the American Nuclear Society by calling or writing to the Society.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Copyright @ 1984 by American Nuclear Society

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-58.8-1984 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard Time Response Design Criteria for Nuclear Safety Related Operator Actions, ANSI/ANS-58.8-1984.)

The criteria contained in this standard establish timing requirements for determining whether the nuclear-safety systems that mitigate the consequences of design basis events may be initiated or adjusted by (a) use of operator action or (b) automatic protection systems. Functional guidelines for the instrumentation, controls, indicators, annunicators, etc., necessary for operator actions are also established.

There are now no generally accepted timing requirement criteria for nuclear-safety-related operator actions. The guidelines in current use are too ill-defined to form a basis for criteria. The criteria of this standard fill this void and provide a basis for future designs.

During normal operation of nuclear power plants, the operator makes many decisions and initiates many actions that are related to the safety of the plant. In the course of design basis events, it is worthwhile, prudent, and often necessary to use the memory, reasoning, and decision-making capability of the operator to augment automatic nuclear-safety system responses to ensure that the safety of the plant and the environment is maintained.

Operator actions are considered to be of four basic types: (1) nuclear-safety-related, (2) required, (3) optional, and (4) unplanned. Nuclear-safety-related operator actions are part of the plant design basis and are used to initiate or adjust nuclear-safety system equipment. Required operator actions are required by the plant emergency procedures but do not accomplish a nuclear-safety function. Optional operator actions may be useful in improving the consequences following an event; however, the consequences must also be acceptable in the absence of such actions. Unplanned operator actions may be useful in correcting unforeseen situations after an event or in coping with an unforeseen event. Although plant design features need to facilitate optional and unplanned operator actions, unplanned actions are not addressed in these criteria since they are applicable to non-design basis events.

These criteria are not intended to address human factor design concerns. The time response criteria given in this standard include conservative time margins, time delays, and other restrictions to provide an adequate nuclear-safety margin for the purposes of system and plant design and nuclear-safety evaluations. They are not intended to serve as a basis for plant staffing or actual operator action times in procedures or training. In actual practice, the operator should be capable of reacting to design basis events correctly and performing the nuclear-safety-related operator action(s) in a shorter time.

The application of these criteria may result in system design modifications or in automation of some protective actions that have historically been performed by the operator. The latter option would not be applied where application would require automation of protective actions that are either beyond the state-of-the-art or so complex as to jeopardize plant safety in the event of unplanned occurrences.

The scope of the criteria of this standard for nuclear-safety-related operator actions have been deliberately limited to those associated with the accidents required to be analyzed by safety analysis reports (SAR) via Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants." The intent of this limitation is to bound the analyses and the potential automation required. The intent

is also to stay with known nuclear-safety-related operator actions. Events not covered by Regulatory Guide 1.70 would be considered unforeseen events to be responded to by unplanned operator actions and those portions of the automatic protection system that would be actuated. This standard is to be applied to new design basis events if and when the scope of the SAR is expanded.

The early drafts of these criteria developed by ANS-58.8, were based on an extension of the simple "ten-minute rule" that has gained some acceptance in the industry. Several ANS-51 members, including the NRC representatives, felt strongly that this approach was an inadequate time allowance for some cases. After meetings with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE) Nuclear Power Engineering Committee (NPEC) SC-6, the Working Group for Operator Actions decided to adopt the more comprehensive and, in some cases, more conservative requirements reflected in these criteria.

The nuclear-safety-related operator action response times in these criteria are based in part on simulator measurements of operator performance. The measurement programs were conducted by the General Physics Corporation, under the sponsorship of the Electric Power Research Institute, and by Westinghouse Electric Corporation. The measurement programs included a range of operator skill levels from operators completing the initial simulator training program to operators returning to the simulator for periodic refresher training. The operator response to various anticipated operational occurrences and accident situations was measured to determine the promptness of the operator's actions. Following the automatic collection of the data, reductions using statistical methodology were accomplished.

The data upon which the response times of this standard are based support assignment of a confidence level to those times being sufficient for operator response. The data do not allow assignment of a given confidence level that the operator action will necessarily be correct. Therefore, this design standard assumes that if the intervals specified are used, then other performance shaping factors, e.g., training level, panel layout, and procedures, may dominate the response time factor in influencing the correctness of operator response.

The members of Working Group ANS-58.8 who prepared this standard are:

```
    R. A. Hill, Chairman, General Electric Company
    D. H. Beckham, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
```

J. J. McInerney, Westinghouse Electric Corporation R. R. Mills, Combustion Engineering, Inc.

M. S. Morris, Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company R. V. Newkirk, Puget Sound Gas & Electric Company

H. G. O'Brien, Tennessee Valley Authority C. L. Reid, Bechtel Power Corporation

D. Smith, Philadelphia Electric Company

M. S. Turbak, Commonwealth Edison Company

Members of Working Group ANS-58.8 who prepared the earlier drafts of this standard were:

H. G. O'Brien, Chairman, Tennessee Valley Authority R. G. Fleming, Westinghouse Electric Corporation C. E. Schumann, Commonwealth Edison Company T. F. Timmons, Westinghouse Electric Corporation

A. R. Kasper, Combustion Engineering, Inc.

The following participated as ad hoc members of the working group for technical consultation purposes:

P. M. Hass, Oak Ridge National Laboratory E. H. Kozinsky, General Physics Corporation J. F. O'Brien, Electric Power Research Institute R. Pack, General Physics Corporation

- *MC-1, LWR Criteria Management Committee, had the following membership at the time of the standard's approval:
- W. H. D'Ardenne, Chairman, General Electric Company
- R. Bone, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation
- V. M. Callaghan, Combustion Engineering, Inc.
- D. M. Crowe, Southern Company Services, Inc.
- R. W. Fleming, Westinghouse Electric Corporation
- O. J. Foster, General Electric Company
- E. E. Fricks, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation
- H. B. Friend, Bechtel Corporation
- M. Horrell, Ebasco Services, Inc.
- D. Lewis, Bechtel Power Corporation
- R. J. Mazza, Sargent & Lundy
- P. D. Metcalf, Jr., Tennessee Valley Authority
- W. Moody, Southern California Edison Company

- R. A. Newkirk, Puget Sound Power & Light Company
- H. G. O'Brien, Tennessee Valley Authority
- P. M. Pivawer, Power Authority of the State of New York
- H. A. Putre, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
- T. J. Raney, Ebasco Services, Inc.
- R. E. Scott, General Electric Company
- H. C. Shaffer, Yankee Atomic Electric Company
- R. Shone, Yankee Atomic Electric Company
- E. Swanson, Babcock & Wilcox Company
- E. R. Wiot, Individual
- G. Zimmerman, Portland General Electric Com-
- *ANS-58.8 was under the management of Subcommittee ANS-51, Pressurized Water Reactor Criteria (C. J. Gill, Chairman); it has been incorporated into MC-1.
- **The American Nuclear Society's Nuclear Power Plant Standards Committee (NUPPSCO) had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:
- L. J. Cooper, Chairman
- M. D. Weber, Secretary

Name of Representative	Organization Represented
R. G. Benham	
B. M. Rice (Alt.)	Duke Power Company (for the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.)
R. V. Bettinger P. Bradbury D. A. Campbell C. O. Coffer	Pacific Gas and Electric Company Westinghouse Advanced Reactor Division Westinghouse Electric Corporation Pacific Gas and Electric Company
L. J. Cooper	
S. G. Gerges C. J. Gill A. R. Kasper R. W. Keaten J. W. Lentsch J. F. Mallay L. M. Mills A. T. Molin	General Electric Company NUS Corporation Bechtel National, Inc. Combustion Engineering, Inc. GPU Services Corporation Portland General Electric Company NUTECH Engineers Tennessee Valley Authority United Engineers and Constructors, Inc. Individual
P. T. Reichert S. L. Rosen J. E. Smith J. W. Stacey S. L. Stamm L. J. Stanek L. Stanley J. D. Stevenson	
G. L. Wessman	

^{**}This roster indicates NUPPSCO members' affiliations at the time of consensus committee ballot.

Contents	Section	
	1. Introduction 1.1 Scope. 1.2 Purpose. 1.3 Bases and Assumptions	1
	2. Definitions	2
	3. General Requirements for Operator Actions	3
	4. Locations for Nuclear-Safety-Related Operator Actions and Operator Environmental Protection	3
	5. Time Limitations for Nuclear-Safety-Related Operator Actions	5
	6. Application of Criteria	7
	7. Availability and Reliability of Information and Controls	7
	8. Safety Analyses and Emergency Procedures	8
	9. Exceptions and Implementation	8
	10. References	8
App	Appendix Bases for Time Tests 1 and 2	9
	Tables Table 1 Time Test 1	5
	Table 2 Time Test 2	6
	Figures Figure 1 Definition of Time Points and Intervals	4
	Figure 2 Example of Time Intervals Involved in an Event Analysis	4