ANSI/ANS-3.5-2018



Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use in Operator Training and Examination

ANSI/ANS-3.5-2018



An American National Standard

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 N. Kensington Ave La Grange Park, IL 60526

American National Standard Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use in Operator Training and Examination

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-3.5

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA

Approved October 10, 2019 by the **American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., "shall," "should," and "may," respectively) should be sent to the Publications and Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA



Copyright © 2019 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-3.5-2018 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Inquiry Requests The American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Committee will provide responses to inquiries about requirements, recommendations, and/or permissive statements (i.e., "shall," "should," and "may," respectively) in American National Standards that are developed and approved by ANS. Responses to inquiries will be provided according to the Policy Manual for the ANS Standards Committee. Nonrelevant inquiries or those concerning unrelated subjects will be returned with appropriate explanation. ANS does not develop case interpretations of requirements in a standard that are applicable to a specific design, operation, facility, or other unique situation only and therefore is not intended for generic application.

Responses to inquiries on standards are published in ANS's magazine, *Nuclear News*, and are available publicly on the ANS website or by contacting the Publications Department.

Inquiry Format

Inquiry requests shall include the following:

- (1) the name, company name if applicable, mailing address, and telephone number of the inquirer;
- (2) reference to the applicable standard edition, section, paragraph, figure, and/or table;
- (3) the purpose(s) of the inquiry;
- (4) the inquiry stated in a clear, concise manner;
- (5) a proposed reply, if the inquirer is in a position to offer one.

Inquiries should be addressed to:

American Nuclear Society ATTN: Publications Department 555 N. Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, IL 60526

or standards@ans.org

Foreword (This foreword is not a part of the American National Standard "Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use in Operator Training and Examination," ANSI/ANS-3.5-2018, but is included for informational purposes.)

The nuclear power industry is currently in a mature phase of operation with encouraging successes in operating license extensions and extended power uprates. In addition to life extension activity, new construction of nuclear power plants is in progress. This sixth revision of the 1979 original standard continues in the philosophy of further addressing issues related to maintaining simulators throughout the life of commercial nuclear power plants. This revision of the standard extends the functional requirements and criteria of this standard to new-build reactors.

The first ANS-3.5 standard, published in January 1979, provided essential requirements for the acquisition of full-scope simulators to support operator training programs. The second ANS-3.5 standard, published in April 1981, further delineated specification requirements. Improvements in testing methods and overall consistency were subsequently included in the third ANS-3.5 standard, published in October 1985. The fourth ANS-3.5 standard, published in March 1993, introduced a new testing methodology and processes that effectively integrated training processes with simulator testing and configuration management processes. The fifth ANS-3.5 standard, published in April 1998, further refined the integration of the training scenario validation process with the simulator testing process; this integration effort introduced simulator scenario-based testing. The sixth ANS-3.5 standard, published in September 2009, clarified the functional and testing requirements associated with simulator scenario-based testing; in addition, this version of the standard introduced post-event simulator testing and reactor core performance testing, the latter of which provides assurance that applicants for an operator license may meet reactivity experience requirements on a reference unit simulator.

Many nuclear power plants have realized routine steady-state operation throughout the fuel cycle as operational performance improves; while this level of maturity is excellent, it provides fewer of the operational experience opportunities previously afforded to licensed operators. Excellent plant performance demands a more vital role for the simulator in providing operators with experience previously obtained in the actual plant. The importance of a thoroughly tested simulator as a high-quality training device cannot be overemphasized.

The development of this standard, ANSI/ANS-3.5-2018, was possible through the cooperation of nuclear professionals from the domestic and international communities. Anticipating a larger user base of the ANS-3.5 standard with the construction of new-build commercial nuclear power plants, assistance from nuclear professionals associated with new-build nuclear power plant simulators was obtained. The working group acknowledges the use of this standard by international users and owners of nuclear power plant simulators that may be subject to international nuclear regulatory authorities; the degree of application of this standard to their respective nuclear power plant simulators is the responsibility of international authorities.

The Sec. 3.1.4 malfunction list and Appendix B of the ANS-3.5-2009 standard are removed from this standard. To address new-build commercial nuclear power plants and the applicability of this standard to new-build simulators, the working group considered several different design certifications already submitted and expected to be submitted for regulatory approval during the development of this standard. Priority was afforded to those designs actually under construction in the United States with near-term issuance of commercial operating licenses (5-year look ahead). It became apparent to the working group that various malfunctions listed in Sec. 3.1.4 and various transients in Appendix B of the ANS-3.5-2009 standard were not applicable to new-build commercial nuclear power plant design. The

working group considered including multiple malfunction and transient lists based on reactor design and determined such effort unwieldy to include in the standard; efforts were therefore focused to identify generic guidance that could be applied regardless of reactor type and reactor design. The working group realized the value, to existing and new nuclear power plant simulator owners, of utilizing a proven systematic approach to the training process to identify and include appropriate malfunctions to simulator design and to consider the design bases for transients unique to each plant design.

The working group diligently considered events such as the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident and uses of the simulator for both extended-duration scenarios and emergency preparedness drills/exercises for inclusion in the scope of this standard. The working group ultimately determined that these types of simulator applications are not within scope of this standard; however, the standard does not preclude the use of simulators for activities other than operator training and examination. The use of this standard in whole or in part to help define, develop, and test simulator facilities for activities beyond the current scope is encouraged.

When a simulator is used for operator training and examination, it is expected to meet the requirements set forth in this standard. The user is responsible for compliance with applicable regulatory requirements.

Acknowledgements:

This review and revision cycle was fortunate to receive substantial support from a diverse and dedicated group of nuclear simulator-experienced professionals representing many utilities and interested parties. A wide range of representation from utilities, independent contributors, industry oversight organizations, and simulator suppliers, including individuals with significant military and commercial reactor experience, contributed to the efforts of the ANS-3.5-2018 Working Group. Input to the development of the standard was received through various means, including feedback from training and simulator associations. Working group meetings were also attended and supported regularly by nonmember participants. All aspects of power reactor and simulator design, construction, and operation, in addition to extensive operator training and evaluation experience, were available throughout this review cycle. Representation at working group meetings was diverse, with approximately 675 collective years of experience in the nuclear industry, including 479 years of simulation-related experience and 249 years of operator training experience. Working group continuity was preserved by members with a range of 1 to 29 years of working group participation experience. The significant experience available and dedicated participation of each member were used effectively to prioritize and address each important issue.

This standard might reference documents and other standards that have been superseded or withdrawn at the time the standard is applied. A statement has been included in the references section that provides guidance on the use of references.

This standard does not incorporate the concepts of generating risk-informed insights, performance-based requirements, or a graded approach to quality assurance. The user is advised that one or more of these techniques could enhance the application of this standard.

In memoriam, the working group would like to recognize the special contributions of Mr. Robert "Bob" A. Felker, Western Services Corporation, who passed away on December 15, 2017. He participated in the development of the 1993, 1998, 2009, and this latest edition of the ANS-3.5 standard. This standard is dedicated to Bob and his lifelong contribution to the nuclear power and simulation industries.

This standard was prepared by the ANS-3.5 Working Group of the American Nuclear Society. The following members contributed to this standard:

- J. B. Florence (Chair), Nebraska Public Power District, Cooper
- R. A. Felker (Vice Chair), Western Services Corporation
- K. P. Welchel (Secretary), Duke Energy, Oconee
- F. J. Colby (Editor), L-3 Communications MAPPS, Inc.
- S. K. Chang (Style Editor), Dominion, Millstone
- L. Vick (Parliamentarian), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- T. D. Buchanan, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- W. A. Fraser, Westinghouse Electric Co.
- R. E. Goldman, *Entergy*, *Grand Gulf*
- D. P. Goodman, Luminant, Comanche Peak
- J. D. Koutouzis, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
- J. Lawter, Dominion, V. C. Summer
- G. S. McCullough, GSE Systems, Inc.
- D. D. McDade, Progress Energy, Harris Nuclear Plant
- M. M. Petersen, Xcel Energy, Prairie Island/Monticello

P. A. Rey, Tecnatom, Spain

- J. C. Sale, Dominion, North Anna
- F. A. Tarselli, Silver Fox Synergies, LLC

Certain highly technically qualified individuals provided additional expert assistance and advice to the working group during the development of this standard. They were as follows:

C. Brooks, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
J. Cross, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. Cupp, Entergy, Arkansas Nuclear One
G. Degraw, Entergy, River Bend
T. Dennis, Individual
V. Gagnon, L-3 Communications MAPPS, Inc.
W. Hendricsen, Arizona Public Service Co., Palo Verde
B. Holl, KSG Kraftwerks, Germany
R. Jones, Entergy
J. C. Kellum, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. Mirshah, Western Services Corporation

The following individuals and organizations are recognized for hosting working group meetings over the course of development of this standard:

W. Auduong, Entergy, Pilgrim
J. Becerra, PG&E, Diablo Canyon
R. A. Felker, Western Services Corporation
J. B. Florence, Nebraska Public Power District, Cooper
W. A. Fraser, Westinghouse Electric Co.
D. P. Goodman, Luminant, Comanche Peak
J. Lawter, SCE&G, V. C. Summer
L. Linton, Duke Energy, Crystal River
D. D. McDade, Progress Energy, Harris Nuclear Plant
W. Potter, Arizona Public Service Co., Palo Verde

The Simulators, Instrumentation, Control Systems, Software & Testing Subcommittee had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

P. K. Guha (Chair), U.S. Department of Energy L. T. Christensen (Vice Chair), Bechtel Corporation

J. K. August, Southern Company
J. B. Florence, Nebraska Public Power District, Cooper
J. P. Glover, Graftel, Inc.
H. Liao, Sandia National Laboratories
E. M. Lloyd, Exitech Corporation
J. A. Sickle, Exelon Corporation
M. E. Smith, Nuclear Innovation North America, LLC
B. Stevens, Exelon Corporation

The Large Light Water Reactor Consensus Committee (LLWRCC) had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

C. E. Carpenter (Chair), U.S. Department of Energy

W. B. Reuland (Vice Chair), Individual

- R. E. Becse, Westinghouse Electric Co.
- C. K. Brown, Southern Company
- R. J. Burg, Engineering Planning & Management, Inc.
- L. T. Christensen, Bechtel Corporation
- M. J. Colby, Global Nuclear Fuel
- G. J. Corpora, Westinghouse Electric Co.
- J. B. Florence, Nebraska Public Power District, Cooper
- M. L. French, WECTEC
- D. Gardner, Kairos Power
- S. W. Gebers, Quantum Nuclear Services
- J. P. Glover, Graftel, Inc.
- P. K. Guha, U.S. Department of Energy
- E. M. Johnson-Turnipseed, Entergy
- M. A. Linn, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- E. M. Lloyd, Exitech Corporation
- R. Markovich, Contingency Management Consulting
- T. K. Meneely, Westinghouse Electric Co.
- C. H. Moseley, Jr., ASME NQA Liaison
- S. D. Routh, Bechtel Corporation
- S. L. Stamm, Individual

LLWRCC Observers:

- J. M. Bonfiglio, *Florida Power & Light, Turkey Point* R. M. Ruby, *Individual*
- J. C. Saldarini, Advanced Reactor Concepts, LLC

Contents

Section

Page

1	Scope and background								
	1.1	Scope	1		1				
	1.2	Backgr	ound		I				
2	Defin	Definitions							
	2.1	Shall, s	hould, and	may	2				
	2.2	Definit	ion of term	s	2				
2	C	1 .			2				
3	Gene	ral requi	rements		3				
	3.1	2.1.1 Deal time and representability							
		3.1.1 2.1.2	Real time	and repeatability	4				
		3.1.2	Limits of	simulation	4				
		3.1.3	Molfuncti	volutions	4				
	2.0	5.1.4 Saama	Wianuncu f aimmiotic		4				
	3.2	Scope of simulation							
		3.2.1		Seena of operator interfaces	S 5				
			3.2.1.1	Instrumentation controls markings and experience side					
			3.2.1.2	Control more environment	S				
		222	Sustament	control room environment	0				
		3.2.2		Systems controlled or monitored from the control room	0 6				
			3.2.2.1	Systems controlled or monitored avternal to the control room	0				
	33	Instruct	J.L.L.L tor station (systems controlled of monitored external to the control foom	0				
	5.5	3 3 1	Initial cor	aditions	0				
		332	Malfuncti	ons	0				
		333	Other feat	10115	/				
		334	Local one	rator actions	/				
		335	Data colle	action	/				
	34	Simula	tor perform	ance testing	7				
	5.7	3 4 1	Simulator performance testing						
		3.4.1	Simulator	transient testing	8				
		343	Simulator	scenario-hased testing	8				
		344	Simulator	reactor core performance testing	9				
		345	Post-even	t simulator testing	9				
		5.1.5	I obt even						
4	Testi	ng requi	rements		9				
	4.1	Simulator capabilities							
		4.1.1	Real time	and repeatability	9				
		4.1.2	Limits of	simulation	9				
		4.1.3	Normal e	volutions	9				
		4.1.4	Malfuncti	ons	.10				
	4.2	Scope of simulation							
		4.2.1	Physical f	fidelity and human factors	. 10				
			4.2.1.1	Scope of operator interfaces	.10				
			4.2.1.2	Instrumentation, controls, markings, and operator aids	.10				
			4.2.1.3	Control room environment	.11				
		4.2.2	Systems to be simulated and the degree of completeness						
			4.2.2.1	Systems controlled or monitored from the control room	.11				
			4.2.2.2	Systems controlled or monitored external to the control room	.11				

	4.3	tor station capabilities	11	
		4.3.1	Initial conditions	11
		4.3.2	Malfunctions	11
		4.3.3	Other features	12
		4.3.4	Local operator actions	12
		4.3.5	Data collection	12
	4.4	Simulator performance testing		
		4.4.1	Steady-state testing	12
		4.4.2	Simulator transient testing	14
		4.4.3	Simulator scenario-based testing	14
		4.4.4	Simulator reactor core performance testing	15
		4.4.5	Post-event simulator testing	15
5	Sim	lator co	nfiguration management	15
	5.1	Initial	construction program requirements	15
		5.1.1	Simulator design baseline	15
		5.1.2	Simulator performance benchmark	16
	5.2	Change control program requirements		
		5.2.1	Resolution of simulator discrepancies	17
		5.2.2	Simulator change verification and validation	17
			5.2.2.1 Simulator change verification	17
			5.2.2.2 Simulator change validation	17
		5.2.3	Maintenance of the simulator performance benchmark	17
	5.3	Accept	table simulator differences	17
-		-		
6	Refe	rences		18

Appendices

Appendix A	Guideline for Documentation of Simulator Design and Test Performance	19
Appendix B	Examples for Application of the Simulator Steady-State	
	Tolerance Allowances	22
Appendix C	General Requirements for Part-Task and Limited-Scope Simulators	23
Table		

Table B.1	Temperature Ranges	for Simulator Tolerance	
-----------	--------------------	-------------------------	--