American Nuclear Society

REAFFIRMED

November 30, 1988 ANSI/ANS-2.13-1979 (R1988)

evaluation of surface-water supplies for nuclear power sites

WITHDRAWN

July 28, 2000 ANSI/ANS-2.13-1979 (R1988)

an American National Standard

No longer being maintained as an American National Standard. This standard may contain outdated material or may have been superseded by another standard. Please contact the ANS Standards Administrator for details.



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA



AMERICAN NUCLEAR SOCIETY

555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526-5592 USA

Tel: 708/352-6611 E-Mail: NUCLEUS@ans.org http://www.ans.org Fax: 708/352-0499

It is intended that this statement be placed on the list of

Historical American National Standards

published by

The American Nuclear Society

Users of these documents should be aware that these standards either have been superceded, or they have not been maintained in accordance with ANSI/ANS requirements. They can be made available to users in printed format or electrostatic copies and will be priced accordingly.

American National Standard Evaluation of Surface-Water Supplies for Nuclear Power Sites

Secretariat American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-2.13

Published by the American Nuclear Society[®] 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved November 5, 1979 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. An American National Standard is intended as a guide to aid the manufacturer, the consumer, and the general public. The existence of an American National Standard does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standard or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard. American National Standards are subject to periodic review and users are cautioned to obtain the latest editions.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of publication. Purchasers of this standard may receive current information, including interpretation, on all standards published by the American Nuclear Society by calling or writing to the Society.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Price: \$32.00

Copyright © 1980 by American Nuclear Society.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-2.13-1979 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword (This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard Evaluation of Surface-Water Supplies for Nuclear Power Sites, ANSI/ANS-2.13-1979.)

The purpose of this document is to specify for light-water cooled, land based nuclear power plants, standards to evaluate the availability of an adequate surface-water supply and the water supply related effects of low flows and levels on plant operation and shutdown. A parallel guideline for ground water is contained in the proposed standard for Evaluating Ground-Water Supply for Nuclear Power Sites, ANS-2.9. Assigned Correspondent: D.L. Siefken, Sargent & Lundy Engineers, Chicago, IL.

Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," requires the submission of safety analysis reports to obtain permits for construction and operation of nuclear power plants. The specific requirements for the determination of water supply are contained in Section 2.4, Hydrologic Engineering, of Regulatory Guide 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants," issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

These standards contain guidelines and requirements for evaluating both safetyrelated and nonsafety-related surface-water supplies for a nuclear power site. At a nuclear power site, water sources are usually interrelated, and any action that involves safety-related sources may also affect nonsafety-related sources. In addition, the data and methods used to analyze both sources are similar.

Therefore, Section 4, Description of Hydrologic System, contains guidelines for obtaining data and analyzing sources of water supply, and Section 5, Reliability of Surface-Water Supply contains criteria for determining adequacy of sources for both safety-related and nonsafety-related water supply.

This standard employs a technique using a discrimination device called "boxing." This technique indicates those statements which are nuclear safety-related. The term "nuclear safety" includes those requirements that are felt by the writing group to arise from official and implied NRC policies (including regulations, regulatory guides, branch positions, the Standard Review Plan, and past practice on applications) as well as other requirements the group believes are related to nuclear safety. Non-nuclear safety-related requirements include the following types of needs as they exclusively apply to areas not considered to be nuclear safety-related: conventional safety, equipment reliability, plant availability, good engineering practice, and contractual (commercial) requirements.

Before preparing the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) Section 2.4, Hydrologic Engineering, for the licensing of nuclear power plants, the applicant should be aware of hydrologic work which has been done by others in the area of interest. Almost invariably, much work can be saved by utilizing all or parts of studies by local, State, and Federal agencies. Such information as stream-flow data, low-flow frequencies, duration curves, historical droughts, geologic and groundwater data, and water-rights information may be obtained from such sources. Sometimes the probable minimum flow has already been derived at the site or at a point near enough to be transposed.

The prime source of such information is the U.S. Geological Survey. Other Federal agencies which may have useful data are the Bureau of Reclamation, Soil Conservation Service, Weather Service, Corps of Engineers, Tennessee Valley Authority, Environmental Protection Agency, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Most states have one or more agencies which are concerned with various aspects of water resources. Power companies, particularly those with hydropower capacity, are another source, as are local or regional water-supply organizations. Safety Analysis Reports for other nuclear plants in the area may also provide useful information. It is also beneficial to discuss the specific site in detail

with the hydrology staff of the NRC prior to starting preparation of Section 2.4. In such discussions, the scope of work can often be reduced and methodologies and procedures can be agreed upon, which will save many man-hours and dollars, both for the applicant and for the NRC staff.

This standard was developed by Working Group ANS-2.13 of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society which had the participation of the following members during the period it prepared the standard:

U. Kappus, Chairman, Dames & Moore

C. D. Eklund, Tennessee Valley Authority

R. F. Edmonds, Duke Power Company

pany

J. M. Carter, Carolina Power and Light Company

Y. C. Chang, Stone & Webster Engineering Com-

J. A. McLaughlin, Pacific Gas & Electric Company

- D. L. Milliken, Consulting Hydrologist
- J. Merino, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, South Pacific Division
- T. J. Nicholson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- A. Prakash, Bechtel, Inc.
- F. Yeh, Tetra Tech, Inc.

Subcommittee ANS-2, Site Evaluation, of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society, had the following members at the time it balloted this document in July 1978:

R. V. Bettinger, Chairman, Pacific Gas & Electric Company

L. L. Beratan, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Arthur Brearley, Sargent & Lundy

J. A. Fischer, Dames & Moore

Morton I. Goldman, NUS Corporation

Walter W. Hays, U.S. Geological Service

G. E. Heim, Sargent & Lundy

G. S. Hunt, Southern California Edison Company

D. H. Johns, Southern California Edison Company

Ulrich Kappus, Dames & Moore

R. E. Keever, Nuclear Technology, Inc.

E. J. Keith, EDS Nuclear Inc.

C. R. McClure, Bechtel Power Corporation

Stephen J. Milioti, American Electric Power Service Corporation
George Nicholas, Dames & Moore
Ronald Noble, Dames & Moore
Thomas Pickel, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
D. L. Siefkin, Sargent & Lundy
J. M. Smith, Jr. General Electrical Company
I. Spickler, Dames & Moore
J. D. Stevenson, Consultants
Sam Tucker, Florida Power & Light Company
Ashok K. Vaish, EDS Nuclear Inc.
R. W. Whalin, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Karl Wiedner, Bechtel Power Corporation The American Nuclear Society's Nuclear Power Plant Standards Committee (NUPPSCO) had the following membership at the time it balloted this standard in February 1979.

J. F. Mallay, Chairman M. D. Weber, Secretary

Organization Represented

Name of Representative

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	G. A. Arlotto
Catalytic, Inc.	R. E. Basso
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	R. G. Benham
(General Atomic Company)	
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	W. Bilharz, Jr. (Alt)
(Knolls Atomic Laboratory)	
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	R. V. Bettinger
Westinghouse Advanced Reactor Division	R. Bradbury
Westinghouse Electric Corporation	
Kaiser Engineers	
Nebraska Public Power District	L. J. Cooper
General Electric Company	W. H. D'Ardenne
U.S. Department of Energy	
Tennessee Valley Authority	H. J. Green
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	J. M. Gruhlke
Combustion Engineering, Inc.	
GPU Services Corporation	R. W. Keaten
Fluor Power Services	D. M. Leppke
American Nuclear Society (Babcock & Wilcox Company)	J. F. Mallay
United Engineers and Constructors	
Individual Member	J. H. Noble
Nuclear Services Corporation	T. J. Pashos
Tennessee Valley Authority	D. R. Patterson
Atomics International	M. E. Remley
Atomic Industrial Forum (General Electric Company)	G. G. Sherwood
Yankee Atomic Electric Company	
Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation	S. L. Stamm
Commonwealth Edison Company	G. Wagner
General Atomic Company	G. L. Wessman
American Society of Mechanical Engineers	J. E. Windhorst
(Southern Company Services)	
NUS Corporation	E. R. Wiot

Contents Section

Page

1.	Scope and Purpose 1 1.1 Scope 1 1.2 Exclusions 1
2.	Definition of Terms1
3.	Plant Water System23.1 Water Quantity Requirements23.2 Water Quality Requirements23.3 Intake Descriptions3
4.	Description of Hydrologic System.34.1 Hydrosphere.34.2 Hydrometeorological Data.34.3 Non-Tidal Streamflow Data.34.4 Water Losses.74.5 Storm Induced Low Waters.74.6 Sediment Considerations.104.7 Reservoir and Dam Data.114.8 Effects of Flow Regulation and Future Control.124.9 Legal Restrictions.12
5.	Reliability of Surface-Water Supply 12 5.1 Safety-Related Water Sources 12 5.2 Nonsafety-Related Water Sources 14 5.3 Other Causative Conditions for Low Water 14
6.	Monitoring
7.	References
Bil	bliography

Evaluation of Surface-Water Supplies for Nuclear Power Sites

1. Scope and Purpose

1.1 Scope. This standard presents criteria for determining:

The availability of a surface water supply for plant operation with respect to both safety and nonsafety-related aspects.

Water supply related effects of low flows and low levels on plant operation with respect to both safety and nonsafety-related systems.¹

1.2 Exclusions. This standard does not include guidelines to determine the effect of low water due to tsunamis nor the design aspects of structures and systems required to store or deliver water from the source to the plant.

2. Definition of Terms

adjusted probable minimum flow. The probable minimum flow adjusted for man's ac-tivities.

blowdown. Water intentionally discharged from a closed cycle water system to control total dissolved solids (TDS).

closed cycle circulating water system. A system in which the same water, with the exception of that lost by evaporation, drift, leakage, and blowdown which is replenished by makeup, is used repeatedly in the circulating water system.

cycles of concentration. The ratio of TDS in the water in a closed cycle cooling system to the TDS in the makeup water.

drift. Water lost from a cooling tower as liquid droplets entrained in the exhaust air. In the case of spray systems, drift comprises the liquid droplets which become airborne and fall outside the spray collection system. lake. As used in this standard, a lake is a natural body of water whose outlet is not controlled.

makeup. Water added to the circulating water system to replace that lost by evaporation, drift, blowdown, and leakage.

non-nuclear safety-related. Those requirements, not considered to be nuclear safetyrelated, whose goal is equipment reliability, unit availability, industrial safety, or good engineering practice.

nuclear safety-related. Plant features are safety related if they are necessary to assure the integrity of the reactor coolant pressure boundary, the capability to shut down the reactor and maintain it in a safe shutdown condition, or the capability to prevent or mitigate the consequences of accidents which would result in potential offsite exposures comparable to the guideline exposures of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 100, "Reactor Site Criteria." $[1]^2$

once through circulating water system. A system in which water is used one time before it is returned to the environment.

probable maximum hurricane (PMH). A hypothetical hurricane having that combination of characteristics which makes it the most severe that can reasonably be expected to occur in the particular region involved. The hurricane should be assumed to approach the point under study along a critical path and at a rate of movement which results in the most adverse conditions.

probable maximum windstorm (PMWS). The PMWS is a hypothetical extratropical cyclone that might result from the most severe combination of meteorological storm parame-

¹This standard also covers portions of the material requested in Section 2.4, Hydrologic Engineering, of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.70, "Standard Format and Content of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants."

²Numbers in brackets refer to corresponding numbers in Section 7, References.