American Nuclear Society

REAFFIRMED

July 23, 2004 ANSI/ANS-6.4-1997 (R2004)

Nuclear Analysis and Design of Concrete Radiation Shielding for Nuclear Power Plants

an American National Standard

WITHDRAWN

September 29, 2006 ANSI/ANS-6.4-1997;R2004 No longer being maintained as an American National Standard. This standard may contain outdated material or may have been superseded by another standard. Please contact the ANS Standards Administrator for details.



published by the
American Nuclear Society
555 North Kensington Avenue
La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

American National Standard for Nuclear Analysis and Design of Concrete Radiation Shielding for Nuclear Power Plants

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-6.4

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved May 28, 1997 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to ensure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Requests for interpretation should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Headquarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus on the interpretation.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60526 USA

Copyright © 1997 by American Nuclear Society. All rights reserved.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-6.4-1997 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

Foreword

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard for Nuclear Analysis and Design of Concrete Radiation Shielding for Nuclear Power Plants, ANSI/ANS-6.4-1997.)

The need for this standard was identified in mid-1972 by D. K. Trubey, then chairman of Subcommittee ANS-6, Radiation Protection and Shielding. The then-existing standard, ANSI N101.6-1972, "Concrete Radiation Shields," provided excellent guidance on the construction of concrete radiation shielding structures, but contained almost no information on shielding effectiveness or analysis. This standard was first issued as ANSI/ANS-6.4-1977 (N403).

After ANSI/ANS-6.4-1977 was issued, two significant events occurred that led to the decision to revise the standard: ANSI N101.6-1972 was withdrawn by ANSI, and the American Concrete Institute (ACI) issued its standard ACI 349-80, "Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures," as well as the Commentary ACI 349R-80, which provided updated requirements with regard to the construction aspects of concrete shielding structures. The withdrawal of ANSI N101.6-1972, the guidance provided by ACI 349-80, and advances in the evolution of shielding methods, data, and applications, led to the revision, ANSI/ANS-6.4-1985.

Since that revision effort, there have been a number of other advances, particularly with respect to buildup factors. These advances have prompted this newest revision, ANSI/ANS-6.4-1997.

This revised standard is meant to be a "guide to good practice" in the area of concrete shielding analysis and design. Recommendations are given where possible, but more often the choice of analytical methods must be left to the discretion of the shielding engineer as appropriate to the particular job, whether it be a conceptual design or final construction drawing.

This standard was revised by Working Group ANS-6.4 of the American Nuclear Society, which had the following members at the time it prepared and approved this standard:

- J. L. Kamphouse, Chairman, Tennessee Valley Authority
- R. J. Donahue, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
- S. J. Haynes, Washington Public Power Supply System
- A. R. Larson, Bechtel Corporation
- R. W. Roussin, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- J. K. Warkentin, TU Electric Company

Subcommittee ANS-6, Radiation Protection and Shielding, had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard:

- W. C. Hopkins, Chairman, Bechtel Corporation
- D. R. Harris, Jr., Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
- J. L. Kamphouse, Tennessee Valley Authority
- D. C. Kaul, Science Applications International Corporation
- R. T. Klann, Argonne National Laboratory-West
- D. K. Trubey, Individual
- N. Tsoulfanidis, University of Missouri-Rolla

Consensus Committee N-17, Research Reactors, Reactor Physics, Radiation Shielding, and Computational Methods, had the following membership at the time it reviewed and approved this standard:

T. M. Raby, Chairman A. Weitzberg, Secretary

H. Alter	U. S. Department of Energy
	Individual
R. E. Carter	Individual
	Brookhaven National Laboratory
	Vista Technologies
B. Dodd	Health Physics Society
	American Institute of Chemical Engineers
	American Public Health Association
	Bechtel Corporation
L. I. Kopp	Individual
	. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
	Individual
	American Nuclear Society
	U. S. Department of Defense
T. R. Schmidt	Sandia National Laboratories
A. O. Smetana	Westinghouse Savannah River Company
	American College of Radiology
J. F. Torrence	National Institute of Standards and Technology
D. K. Trubey	Individual
S. H. Weiss	U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
A. Adams Jr. (Alt.)	(2017년) 전 1월 1일 1일 (2014년 전 4) 2014년 전 1015년 전 2016년 (2016년 1월 12년
A. Weitzberg	Halliburton NUS Corporation
W. L. Whittemore	GA Technologies, Inc.

Contents	Section	age
	1. Scope	1
	2. Requirements and Recommendations	1
	2.1 Conformance	
	2.2 Requirements	
	2.3 Recommendations	
	3. Standards of Documentation	
	3.1 Shield Design Approach	
	3.2 Shield Design Description	
	3.3 Methods of Analysis	
	3.4 Description of Analyses	
	3.5 References	
	3.6 Summary Results	პ
	4. Terms and Definitions	3
	5. Characterization of Concrete	5
	5.1 Introduction	
	5.2 Concrete Placement	6
	5.3 Water Content	6
	5.4 Heating Effects	
	5.5 Reinforcing Steel	
	5.6 Aggregates	7
	6. Calculation Methods	10
	6.1 Introduction	
	6.2 Point Kernel Methods	
	6.3 Discrete Ordinates Method	
	6.4 Monte Carlo Method	
	6.5 Other Methods, and Summary	. 18
	7. Concrete Shielding Data	
	7.1 Introduction	
	7.2 Gamma Ray Attenuation Coefficients	
	7.3 Gamma Ray Buildup Factors	
	7.4 Secondary Gamma Ray Production	
	7.5 Neutron Cross Sections	
	7.6 Neutron Attenuation Curves	. 21
	8. Applications	
	8.1 Radiation Effects	
	8.2 Minimum Water Content	
	8.3 Bulk Transport	
	8.4 Radiation Streaming Through Penetrations	
	8.5 Reflection	. 26
	9. References	. 27
	Bibliography	. 33

Appendices	
Appendix A	List of Codes34
Appendix B	Shielding Data36
Appendix C	Applications Data and Results
Tables	
Table 5.1	Partial Densities of Concrete Constituents
Table 5.2	Typical Concrete Properties9
Table B.1	Mass Attenuation Coefficients (cm²/g) for
	Ordinary Concrete36
Table B.2	Mass Attentuation Coefficients for Elements
	Comprising Ordinary Concrete
Table B.3	Mass Attenuation Coefficients of Elements Which May
	Be Found in Other Concrete Compositions (cm²/g)39
Table B.4	Mass Energy Absorption Coefficients for
	Ordinary Concrete (cm²/g)40
Table B.5	Gamma Ray Energy Absorption Coefficients of
	Various Types of Concrete (cm ⁻¹)40
Table B.6	The Equivalent Atomic Number for Ordinary Concrete
	as a Function of Source Energy40
Table B.7	Point Isotropic Infinite Medium Buildup Factor
	Parameters for Ordinary Concrete (Dose, 20 mfp)41
Table B.8	Point Isotropic Infinite Medium Buildup Factor
	Parameters for Ordinary Concrete
	(Energy Absorption, 20 mfp)42
Table B.9	Point Isotropic Infinite Medium Buildup Factor
	Parameters for Ordinary Concrete (Dose, 40 mfp)43
Table B.10	Point Isotropic Infinite Medium Buildup Factor
	Parameters for Ordinary Concrete
	(Energy Absorption, 40 mfp)44
Table B.11	Geometric Progression Buildup Factor Coefficients
	for Ordinary Concrete (Dose, 40 mfp)45
Table B.12	Geometric Progression Buildup Factor Coefficients
	for Ordinary Concrete (Energy Absorption, 40 mfp)46
Table B.13	Gamma Ray Spectra from Thermal Neutron Capture
	in Concrete47
Table B.14	Neutron Constants for Concrete47
Table C.1	Composition of Hanford Ordinary Concrete
	as a Function of Temperature60
Table C.2	Compositions of Concretes Used in Measurements60
Table C.3	ANISN Spherical Model for PWR Calculation
Table C.4	Material Compositions for PWR Calculation
Table C.5	Neutron Source Distribution for PWR Calculation
Table C.6	Neutron Source Spectrum for PWR Calculation
Table C.7	Albedo Method Parameters
Table C.8	Constants for the Expression Fitting the Maerker-
	Muckenthaler Differential Dose Albedo Data for
	Fast Neutrons Incident on Concrete
Table C.9	Value of Parameters for Chilton-Huddleston
	Gamma Ray Differential Albedo Formula
Table C.10	Constants for the Expressions Fitting the Coleman et al.
	Differential and Total Albedo Data for Intermediate-
	Energy Neutrons Incident on Reinforced Concrete65

· Figures	
Figures Figure 6.1	Example of Oblique Ponetration Short Circuit Daths
Figure 6.2	Example of Oblique Penetration Short Circuit Paths
rigule 0.2	in Water from a Point Fission Source
Figure 6.3	Comparison of Neutron and Gamma Ray Dose Rate
1 15 410 0.0	Through Concrete Using Transport and Diffusion
	Theory
Figure 8.1	Example of Excess Transmission Through a Void
Figure B.1	Dose Buildup Factor, Point Isotropic Source
Figure B.2	Dose Buildup Factor Geometric Progression Form
CONTRACTOR AND STATE OF THE STA	(Energy: 0.03-0.2 MeV)4
Figure B.3	Dose Buildup Factor Geometric Progression Form
	(Energy: 0.3-2.0 MeV)5
Figure B.4	Dose Buildup Factor Geometric Progression Form
	(Energy: 3.0-15.0 MeV)5
Figure B.5	Dose Buildup Factor, Plane Isotropic Source5
Figure B.6	Energy Absorption Buildup Factor, Point Source
Figure B.7	Energy Absorption Buildup Factor Geometric
T : T 0	Progression Form (Energy: 0.03-0.2 MeV)
Figure B.8	Energy Absorption Buildup Factor Geometric
F: P.O	Progression Form (Energy: 0.3-2.0 MeV)
Figure B.9	Energy Absorption Buildup Factor Geometric
Figure B.10	Progression Form (Energy: 3.0-15.0 MeV)
Figure B.11	
rigure D.11	Method Calculations of Neutron Dose Equivalent (rems)
	from a Plane Isotropic Fission Source as a Function of
	Concrete Thickness, Source Normalized to One Neutron
	in a Forward Direction
Figure C.1	Resonance Neutron Distribution in Ordinary Concrete
<u> </u>	as a Function of Temperature6
Figure C.2	Fast Neutron Distribution in Ordinary Concrete
	as a Function of Temperature6
Figure C.3	Measured Neutron Fluxes vs. Distance
	in Ordinary Concrete
Figure C.4	Gamma Ray and Neutron Flux Attenuation Curves
	in Ordinary Concrete
Figure C.5	Radiation Levels in Bradwell Side Shield
Figure C.6	Dose Rates in Typical PWR Shield
Figure C.7	Gamma Dose Rates from 4-Inch Schedule 160 Pipe
	Containing Radioactivity, Derived Through
E: C 0	the Use of the PATH Code
Figure C.8	Gamma Dose Rates from 24-Inch Schedule 160 Pipe
	Containing Radioactivity, Derived Through the Use of the PATH Code
Figure C.9	Gamma Dose Rates from 6-Foot Outer Diameter Tank
rigule 0.3	Containing Radioactivity, Derived Through
	the Use of the PATH Code
Figure C.10	Penetration Types
Figure C.11	
	Reflection Geometries 7'

Nuclear Analysis and Design of Concrete Radiation Shielding for Nuclear Power Plants

1. Scope

This standard contains methods and data needed to calculate the concrete thickness required for radiation shielding in nuclear power plants. Where possible, specific recommendations are made regarding radiation attenuation calculations, shielding design, and standards of documentation. The standard provides guidance to architect-engineers, utilities, and reactor vendors who are responsible for the shielding design of stationary nuclear plants. This standard does not consider sources of radiation other than those associated with nuclear power plants. It also excludes considerations of economic aspects of shielding design.

Concrete is a mixture of materials, the exact proportions of which will differ from application to application. This standard includes a discussion of the nature of concrete, emphasizing those variable aspects of the material which are important to the shield designer. The document discusses methods of analysis and the shielding input data appropriate to each method. Applications of the analytical methods are given, including bulk transport, radiation heating, streaming, and reflection problems.

2. Requirements and Recommendations

2.1 Conformance. The world "shall" is used to denote a requirement; the word "should" to denote a recommendation; and the word "may" to denote permission, neither a requirement nor a recommendation. To conform with this standard, all concrete shield analyses and designs shall be performed in accordance with its requirements, but not necessarily with its recommendations.

2.2 Requirements

2.2.1 Calculational Methods. Any applicable method may be used by the designer in the analysis of shield effectiveness. The designer

shall be aware, however, of any limitations imposed by the method employed. Approximations shall be chosen such that the attenuation afforded by the concrete shield is known to be conservative with respect to the design objective. Conservatism may also be introduced by other means, such as the source strength used or the radiation design dose rate outside the shield; the concrete shield analysis need not necessarily be inherently conservative.

2.2.2 Data. Selection of material composition, density, cross sections, albedos, or other properties shall be made such that calculational results are conservative with respect to the design objectives as measured by attenuation afforded by the shield.

2.2.3 Operational Environment. Nuclear heating shall be considered during the determination of the operating temperature and water content of a concrete primary reactor shield, and of any other concrete shields which are exposed to an incident energy flux greater than 10¹⁰ MeV/cm²-sec and which will operate at a temperature of 65 °C or greater.

2.2.4 Penetrations. All penetration configurations in concrete shield walls shall be shown to provide adequate attenuation. This requirement shall be satisfied by one of the following:

- Analysis that follows the guidance of 8.4 of this standard.
- (2) Determination that the configuration is similar to one which is functioning properly under comparable conditions in an operating nuclear facility.
- (3) Determination that the configuration is similar to one which has been evaluated experimentally and found to be effective for the radiation levels under consideration.
- (4) Determination that the configuration is similar to one which has already been shown by analysis to be effective.