

Probabilistic Risk Assessment Standard for Advanced Non- Light Water Reactor Nuclear Power Plants

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers



ANS



Date of Issuance: February 8, 2021

ASME issues written replies to inquiries concerning interpretations of technical aspects of this Standard. Interpretations are published on the ASME website under the Committee Pages at <http://cstools.asme.org/> as they are issued.

Errata to codes and standards may be posted on the ASME website under the Committee Pages to provide corrections to incorrectly published items, or to correct typographical or grammatical errors in codes and standards. Such errata shall be used on the date posted.

The Committee Pages can be found at <http://cstools.asme.org/>. There is an option available to automatically receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code or standard. This option can be found on the appropriate Committee Page after selecting “Errata” in the “Publication Information” section.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

This code or standard was developed under procedures accredited as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The Standards Committee that approved the code or standard was balanced to assure that individuals from competent and concerned interests have had an opportunity to participate. The proposed code or standard was made available for public review and comment that provides an opportunity for additional public input from industry, academia, regulatory agencies, and the public-at-large.

ASME does not “approve,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this code or standard.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2021 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All rights reserved
Published in U.S.A.



CONTENTS

Foreword.....	iii
Correspondence With the ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management.....	iv
Committee Rosters	vii
SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION	1
Section 1.1 Objective	3
Section 1.2 Scope.....	3
Section 1.3 Requirements for Different Design-Life Cycle Stages	4
Section 1.4 Structure for PRA Requirements	5
Section 1.5 Applicability of PRA Technical Elements	9
Section 1.6 PRA Configuration Control	9
Section 1.7 Peer Review Requirements	9
Section 1.8 Addressing Different PRA Scopes.....	9
Section 1.9 Determining Risk-Significant Items	10
Section 1.10 Screening Criteria	13
Section 1.12 Derivation of Technical Requirements	16
Section 1.13 References.....	17
SECTION 2 ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS	19
Section 2.1 Acronyms.....	21
Section 2.2 Definitions.....	22
Section 2.3 References.....	34
SECTION 3 RISK ASSESSMENT APPLICATION PROCESS	35
Section 3.1 Overview of Application Process.....	37
Section 3.2 Range of PRA Applications Considered for This Standard	40
Section 3.3 Step-by-Step Approach to PRA Applications	40
Section 3.4 References.....	46
SECTION 4 RISK ASSESSMENT TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS	47
Section 4.1 Purpose.....	49
Section 4.2 Use of Expert Judgment	49
Section 4.3 Technical Requirements.....	51
Nonmandatory Appendices	
POS.1 Notes Associated With Plant Operating State Analysis	57
IE.1 Notes Associated With Initiating Events Analysis.....	69
ES.1 Notes Associated With Event Sequence Analysis	81
SC.1 Notes Associated With Success Criteria Development.....	90
SY.1 Notes Associated With Systems Analysis.....	101
HR.1 Notes Associated With Human Reliability Analysis	117
DA.1 Notes Associated With Data Analysis	129
FL.1 Notes Associated With Internal Flood PRA	158
FL.2 Explanatory Content Associated With Internal Flood PRA.....	161
F.1 Notes Associated With Internal Fire PRA	196
S.1 Notes Associated With Seismic PRA.....	222
S.2 Explanatory Material Associated With Seismic PRA	243
HS.1 Notes Associated With Hazards Screening Analysis	253
HS.2 Notes Associated With Hazards Screening Analysis High Level Requirements	256
W.1 Notes Associated With High Winds PRA.....	280
W.2 Explanatory Material Associated With High Winds PRA	303

XF.1	Notes Associated With External Flooding PRA	332
XF.2	Explanatory Material Associated With External Flooding PRA.....	350
O.1	Notes Associated With Other Hazards PRA	372
O.2	Explanatory Material Associated With Other Hazards PRA	376
ESQ.1	Notes Associated With Event Sequence Quantification	388
MS.1	Notes Associated With Mechanistic Source Term Analysis	396
RC.1	Notes Associated With Radiological Consequence Analysis	417
RC.2	Additional Information For Radiological Consequence Analysis.....	420
RI.1	Notes Associated With Risk Integration	431
RI.2	An Example of Risk Integration Using Relative Significance	433
SECTION 5	PRA CONFIGURATION CONTROL	439
5.1	Purpose.....	441
5.2	Objective.....	441
Nonmandatory Appendix	444
CC.1	Notes Associated With Configuration Control	444
SECTION 6	PEER REVIEW.....	445
Section 6.1	Purpose.....	447
Section 6.2	Peer Review Team Composition and Personnel Qualifications.....	448
Section 6.3	Review of PRA Elements to Confirm the Methodology Used and Implementation.....	448
Section 6.4	Expert Judgment	449
Section 6.5	PRA Configuration Control	449
Section 6.6	Peer Review Documentation.....	449
SECTION 7	NEWLY DEVELOPED METHODS	451
Section 7.1	Introduction.....	453
Section 7.2	Objectives and Technical Requirements for Newly Developed Methods (NM).....	453
Nonmandatory Appendix	456
NM.1	Notes Associated With Newly Developed Methods	456

(All references are distributed within the above sections.)



FOREWORD

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Board on Nuclear Codes and Standards (BNCS) and the American Nuclear Society (ANS) Standards Board mutually agreed in 2004 to form the Nuclear Risk Management Coordinating Committee (NRMCC). NRMCC was chartered to coordinate and harmonize standards activities related to probabilistic risk assessment (PRA) between ASME and ANS. A key activity resulting from NRMCC was the development of PRA standards structured around the Levels of PRA (i.e., Level 1, Level 2, Level 3) to be jointly issued by ASME and ANS. In 2011, ASME and ANS decided to combine their respective PRA standards committees to form the ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (JCNRM).

In 2006, ASME BNCS established the New Reactor Task Group under the Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (CNRM) to evaluate the need for codes and standards to support the design, construction, licensing, and operation of advanced non-light water reactor (non-LWR) nuclear power plants (NPPs). Following the formation of JCNRM, the New Reactor Task Group is now known as the ASME/ANS JCNRM Advanced Non-LWR PRA Standard Writing Group (Non-LWR WG). The charter of the Non-LWR WG is to develop recommendations to JCNRM on requirements for the performance of PRAs for advanced non-LWRs. The expected applications of such PRAs include input to licensing and design decisions such as selection of licensing-basis events and safety classification of equipment, satisfaction of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) PRA requirements for advanced non-LWRs, and support of risk-informed applications for advanced non-LWR NPPs. With the concurrence of JCNRM, the Non-LWR WG decided early on that a new PRA standard was needed to support a broad range of applications for advanced reactor designs.

To support a diverse mixture of reactor concepts, including high-temperature gas-cooled reactors, liquid metal-cooled fast reactors, molten salt reactors, microreactors, and small modular reactors, CNRM decided early on to develop this new PRA standard on a reactor-technology-neutral basis using established technology-neutral risk metrics common to existing light water reactor (LWR) Level 3 PRAs. Such risk metrics include frequency of radiological consequences (e.g., dose, health effects, and property damage impacts). To support a wide range of applications defined by the non-LWR stakeholders, the scope of this Standard is very broad and is comparable to a full-scope Level 3 PRA for an LWR with a full range of plant operating states and hazards. Because some of the advanced non-LWR designs supported by this Standard include modular reactor concepts, this Standard includes requirements that support an integrated risk of multi-reactor facilities including event sequences involving two or more reactors or radionuclide sources concurrently.

In 2013, the JCNRM issued a trial use for the pilot application (TUPA) version of this Standard as ASME/ANS-RA-S-1.4-2013. This trial use version was extensively piloted in the development of a number of advanced non-LWR PRAs that were under development and being built around the world. These advanced non-LWR PRA pilots included one to support the licensing of the HTR-PM pebble bed reactor plant in the Republic of China and a modernization of the GE PRISM PRA, which piloted a major fraction of this Standard's technical requirements in 2018. The experience with pilot applications of this Standard has been extended to support the development of the Traveling Wave and Molten Chloride Fast Reactor at TerraPower, the pebble bed HTGR under development at X-Energy, the Versatile Test Reactor being developed for the U.S. Department of Energy, the Fluoride Cooled High Temperature Reactor at Kairos, the eVinci™ Micro Reactor at Westinghouse, and Advanced HTGRs under development in Japan. The purpose of this version of this Standard is to capture the lessons learned from these pilot applications and to incorporate improvements that have been made in other PRA standards that are applicable to advanced non-LWRs.

In preparing the technical requirements in this Standard, the Non-LWR WG made use of applicable source material from PRA standards that have been developed for LWRs including ASME/ANS RA-Sb-2013, "Standard for Level 1/Large Early Release Frequency Probabilistic Risk Assessment for Nuclear Power Plant Applications," as well as Trial Use PRA standards developed by ASME and ANS for Low-Power-and-Shutdown PRA, Level 2 PRA, and Level 3 PRA.

This publication, the 2021 edition of Probabilistic Risk Assessment Standard for Advanced Non-Light Water Reactor Nuclear Power Plants, was approved by the ASME Board on Nuclear Codes and Standards and the ANS Standards Board. ASME/ANS RA-S-1.4-2021 was approved by the American National Standards Institute on January 28, 2021.



CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE ASME/ANS JOINT COMMITTEE ON NUCLEAR RISK MANAGEMENT

General. ASME Standards are developed and maintained with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. As such, users of this Standard may interact with the Committee by requesting interpretations, proposing revisions or a case, and attending Committee meetings. Correspondence should be addressed to:

Secretary, ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management
 The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
 Two Park Avenue
 New York, NY 10016-5990
<http://go.asme.org/Inquiry>

Proposing Revisions. Revisions are made periodically to the Standard to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Standard. Approved revisions will be published periodically.

The Committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this Standard. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent documentation.

Interpretations. Upon request, the ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (JCNRM) Standards Committee will render an interpretation of any requirement of the Standard. Interpretations can only be rendered in response to a written request sent to the Secretary of JCNRM.

Requests for interpretation should preferably be submitted through the online Interpretation Submittal Form. The form is accessible at <http://go.asme.org/InterpretationRequest>. Upon submittal of the form, the Inquirer will receive an automatic e-mail confirming receipt.

If the Inquirer is unable to use the online form, he/she may mail the request to the Secretary of JCNRM at the above address. The request for an interpretation should be clear and unambiguous. It is further recommended that the Inquirer submit his/her request in the following format:

Subject	Cite the applicable paragraph number(s) and the topic of the inquiry in one or two words.
Edition	Cite the applicable edition of the Standard for which the interpretation is being requested.
Question	Phrase the question as a request for an interpretation of a specific requirement suitable for general understanding and use, not as a request for an approval of a proprietary design or situation. Please provide a condensed and precise question, composed in such a way that a “yes” or “no” reply is acceptable.
Proposed Reply(ies)	Provide a proposed reply(ies) in the form of “Yes” or “No,” with explanation as needed. If entering replies to more than one question, please number the questions and replies
Background Information	Provide the Committee with any background information that will assist the Committee in understanding the inquiry. The Inquirer may also include any plans or drawings that are necessary to explain the question; however, they should not contain proprietary names or information.

Requests that are not in the format described above may be rewritten in the appropriate format by the Committee prior to being answered, which may inadvertently change the intent of the original request.

Moreover, ASME does not act as a consultant for specific engineering problems or for the general application or understanding of the Standard requirements. If, based on the inquiry information submitted, it is the opinion of



the Committee that the Inquirer should seek assistance, the inquiry will be returned with the recommendation that such assistance be obtained.

ASME procedures provide for reconsideration of any interpretation when or if additional information that might affect an interpretation is available. Further, persons aggrieved by an interpretation may appeal to the cognizant ASME Committee or Subcommittee. ASME does not “approve,” “certify,” “rate,” or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

Attending Committee Meetings. The JCNRM regularly holds meetings and/or telephone conferences that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting and/or telephone conference should contact the Secretary of JCNRM.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This edition of the advanced non-LWR PRA Standard was the result of the dedicated efforts of the following individuals who are responsible for the changes made to the 2013 trial use version of this Standard: Jordan Hagaman, Peiwen Whysall, Matthew Denman, and Matthew Warner at Kairos Power; Marty Sattison, Individual; Andrew Clark and Jamal Mohmand of Sandia National Laboratory; David Grabaskas of Argonne National Laboratory; Dennis Henneke of GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy; Hanh Phan of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission; and Karl Fleming, KNF Consulting Services, LLC.



ASME/ANS RA-S COMMITTEE

Probabilistic Risk Assessment Standard for Advanced Non-LWR Nuclear Power Plants

(The following is a roster of the Committee at the time of the approval of this Standard.)

ASME/ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management

- R. J. Budnitz**, *ANS Cochair*, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
C. R. Grantom, *ASME Cochair*, C. R. Grantom, P. E. Associates, LLC
D. W. Henneke, *ANS Vice Cochair*, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
P. F. Nelson, *ASME Vice Cochair*, National Autonomous University of Mexico
O. Martinez, *Secretary*, The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
P. J. Amico, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
V. K. Anderson, Nuclear Energy Institute
G. Apostolakis, Nuclear Risk Research Center
M. Bensi, University of Maryland
S. Bristol, NuScale Power
G. DeMoss, PSEG Nuclear
M. R. Denman, Kairos Power
F. Ferrante, Electric Power Research Institute
K. R. Fine, First Energy Nuclear Operating Company
K. N. Fleming, KNF Consulting Services, LLC
A. Gilbertson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Grabaskas, Argonne National Laboratory
H. A. Hackerott, Individual
T. G. Hook, Arizona Public Service
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec International
D. M. Jones, Enercon Services
G. W. Kindred, Tennessee Valley Authority
S. H. Levinson, Individual
R. Linthicum, Exelon, PWR Owners Group
- A. Maioli**, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. O'Brien, U.S. Department of Energy
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
R. I. Rishel, Duke Energy, BWR Owners Group
M. B. Sattison, Individual
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. L. Stone, Exelon Corp.
I. B. Wall, Individual
S. Bernsen, *Contributing Member*, Individual
J. R. Chapman, *Contributing Member*, Individual
F. Dermarkar, *Contributing Member*, CANDU Owners Group
J. E. Hagaman, *Alternate*, Kairos Power
D. C. Hance, *Alternate*, Electric Power Research Institute
E. A. Hughes, *Contributing Member*, Etranco, Inc.
K. L. Kiper, *Contributing Member*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. Kojima, *Contributing Member*, Individual
G. A. Krueger, *Alternate*, Nuclear Energy Institute
N. LaBarge, *Alternate*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
S. R. Lewis, *Contributing Member*, Individual
Y. J. Li, *Alternate*, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
S. Poghosyan, *Contributing Member*, International Atomic Energy Agency
P. A. Schroeder, *Contributing Member*, American Nuclear Society
C. Spitzer, *Contributing Member*, International Atomic Energy Agency
D. E. True, *Contributing Member*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
M. J. Walker, *Alternate*, Tennessee Valley Authority

Advanced Non-LWR PRA Standard Writing Group

- K. N. Fleming**, *Chair*, KNF Consulting Services, LLC
D. Grabaskas, *Vice Chair*, Argonne National Laboratory
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
A. J. Clark, Sandia National Laboratories
M. R. Denman, Kairos Power
H. L. Detar, Westinghouse Electric Co.
M. Gonzales, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
J. E. Hagaman, Kairos Power
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
A. J. Huning, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- Z. Jankovsky**, Sandia National Laboratories
B. C. Johnson, TerraPower
K. Muramatsu, Tokyo City University, Japan
H. K. Phan, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. B. Sattison, Individual
J. Tong, INET, Tsinghua University, the People's Republic of China
S. D. Unwin, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
M. S. Warner, Kairos Power
P. T. Whysall, Kairos Power



Subcommittee on Standards Development

M. R. Denman, *Chair*, Kairos Power
N. R. LaBarge, *Vice Chair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. K. Anderson, Nuclear Energy Institute
S. Bernsen, Individual
S. Bristol, NuScale Power
K. N. Fleming, KNF Consulting Services LLC
A. Gilbertson, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
D. Grabaskas, Argonne National Laboratory
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
K. Kaspar, South Texas Project Electric Generating Station
Y. J. Li, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
Z. Ma, Idaho National Laboratory
J. O'Brien, U.S. Department of Energy
B. J. Ratnagaran, Southern Company
M. B. Sattison, Individual
R. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. Sorel, Electricité de France
R. Summitt, Engineering Planning and Management
G. Teagarden, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
S. D. Unwin, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
P. Whiteman, AREVA

Subcommittee on Standards Maintenance

P. J. Amico, *Chair*, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
A. Maioli, *Vice Chair*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
V. Andersen, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
M. Bensi, University of Maryland
J. M. Biersdorf, Idaho National Labs
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
M. Carr, Palo Verde Generating Station
S. Eder, Facility Risk Consultants
K. R. Fine, First Energy Nuclear Operating Company
H. A. Hackerott, Individual
J. Hall, Entergy Corporation
D. C Hance, Electric Power Research Institute
D. W. Henneke, GE Hitachi Nuclear Energy
T. G. Hook, Arizona Public Service
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec International
F. Joglar, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
D. M. Jones, Enercon Services
A. M. Kammerer, Individual
J. Lin, ABS Consulting
N. Lovelace, Hughes Associates
D. N. Miskiewicz, Engineering Planning and Management, Inc.
L. Ning, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
M. K. Ravindra, MKRavindra Consulting
A. Rubbico, Duke Energy
R. E. Schneider, Westinghouse Electric Co.
M. L. Szoke, UK Atomic Energy Authority
I. B. Wall, Individual
M. Degonish, *Alternate*, Westinghouse Electric Co.
J. Mitman, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Subcommittee on Risk Applications

G. W. Kindred, *Chair*, Tennessee Valley Authority
G. DeMoss, *Vice Chair*, PSEG Nuclear, LLC
D. M. Jones, *Vice Chair*, Enercon Services
R. J. Budnitz, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (retired)
C. R. Grantom, C.R. Grantom P.E. Associates, LLC
F. G. Hudson, Metcalfe PLLC
J. M. Jansen Vehec, Holtec International
S. H. Levinson, Individual
R. Linthicum, Exelon, PWR Owners Group
A. Moldenhauer, Dominion Energy
P. F. Nelson, National Autonomous University of Mexico
J. O'Brien, U.S. Department of Energy
V. Patel, Southern Company
R. Rishel, Duke Energy, BWR Owners Group
S. Rosenberg, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
J. L. Stone, Exelon Corp.
K. Sutton, INGRID Consulting Services, LLC
V. Warren, Jensen Hughes, Inc.
C. DeMessieres, *Alternate*, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission



SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION CONTENTS

SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Objective.....	3
1.2	Scope.....	3
1.2.1	Treatment of Hazard Groups.....	4
1.2.2	Hazards and Initiating Events	4
1.3	Requirements for Different Design-Life Cycle Stages	4
1.4	Structure for PRA Requirements	5
1.4.1	PRA Elements	5
1.4.2	High Level Requirements	6
1.4.3	Supporting Requirements.....	6
1.5	Applicability of PRA Technical Elements	9
1.6	PRA Configuration Control	9
1.7	Peer Review Requirements	9
1.8	Addressing Different PRA Scopes.....	9
1.9	Determining Risk-Significant Items.....	10
1.9.1	Risk Metrics for Evaluation of Risk Significance.....	11
1.9.2	Relative Risk Significance Criteria.....	11
1.9.3	Absolute Risk Significance Criteria.....	13
1.10	Screening Criteria	13
1.11	Interface With Other PRA Standards.....	15
1.12	Derivation of Technical Requirements	16
1.12.1	Overview.....	16
1.12.2	Technical Approach to Deriving Requirements.....	17
1.12.3	General References for Technical Requirements.....	17
1.13	References.....	17

(The text presented in blue font in this standard comprise hyperlinks to enable efficient access to referenced sections and elements, requirements, notes, references, etc.)



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OBJECTIVE

This Standard¹ states the requirements for probabilistic risk assessments (PRAs) used to support risk-informed decisions for advanced non-light water reactor (non-LWR) nuclear power plants (NPPs) and prescribes a method for applying these requirements for specific applications. To support the application of this Standard to PRAs for a diverse set of reactor designs such as modular high-temperature gas-cooled reactors (MHTGRs), liquid metal-cooled fast reactors (LMRs), molten salt reactors (MSRs), micro-reactors and small modular reactors based on non-LWR technology, and other advanced non-LWRs, the requirements in this Standard were developed on a reactor-technology-inclusive basis. This Standard supersedes an earlier trial use version ASME/ANS RA-S-1.4-2013 [1-1]² and incorporates feedback from pilot studies using that version.

1.2 SCOPE

This Standard states requirements for a PRA for advanced non-LWR NPPs. The requirements in this Standard were developed for a broad range of PRA scopes that may include the following:

(a) Different sources of radioactive material both within and outside the reactor but within the boundaries of the plant whose risks are to be determined within the PRA scope selected by the user;

(b) Different plant operating states, including various levels of power operation and shutdown modes;

(c) Initiating events caused by internal hazards, such as internal events, internal fires, and internal floods, and external hazards such as seismic events, high winds, and external flooding. The only hazards explicitly excluded from the scope are releases resulting from purposeful human-induced security threats (e.g., sabotage, terrorism);

(d) Different event sequence end states, including those with no adverse consequences, plant damage states (PDSs), and release categories that are sufficient to characterize mechanistic source terms, including releases from event sequences involving two or more reactors or radionuclide sources;

(e) Evaluation of different risk metrics including the frequencies of modeled PDSs, event sequence families, release categories, risks of off-site radiological exposures and health effects, and the integrated risk of the multi-

reactor plant as defined by the selected PRA scope. The risk metrics supported by this Standard are established metrics used in existing light water reactor (LWR) Level 3 PRAs such as frequency of radiological consequences (e.g., dose, health effects) that are independent of reactor technology. Surrogate risk metrics used in LWR PRAs such as core damage frequency (CDF) and large early release frequency (LERF) are not applicable to many non-LWR designs and not used in this Standard;

(f) Quantification of the event sequence frequencies, mechanistic source terms, off-site radiological consequences, risk metrics, and associated uncertainties, and using this information to support risk-informed decisions in a manner consistent with the scope and applications PRA.

Technical requirements are provided in this Standard for different combinations of sources of radioactive material, plant operating states, and hazard groups. In this Standard, the technical requirements for the internal fire hazard group are limited to “at-power” plant operating states as there was insufficient experience in performing internal fire PRAs during low power and shutdown (LPSD) plant operating states to justify the inclusion of such requirements. This exclusion is consistent with supporting LWR PRA standards. Other combinations of hazard groups and plant operating states are, however, supported in this Standard.

It is recognized that for some PRA applications, a PRA that addresses the full set of requirements covered in this Standard may not be required. In addition, for PRAs performed in various stages of design and licensing, especially those PRAs performed prior to the selection of a specific site, the level of detail and completeness of the PRA with respect to the PRA scope, coverage of events and event sequences, plant operating states, hazards, risk metrics, and operational characteristics may be limited in relation to that for typical PRAs for an operating plant. Hence, the scope of the PRA is established by the user in accordance with the intended PRA applications and the availability of information to support each PRA element. In addition, the requirements in this Standard for the level of detail, completeness, and model to plant or design fidelity vary according to the scope and level of detail of design and operational information that is available to support, and is referenced by, the PRA with additional requirements to address assumptions in lieu of as-operated and as-built details.

¹ The current Standard, ASME/ANS RA-S-1.4-2021, is herein referred to as “this Standard.”

² Numbers in brackets refer to corresponding reference numbers at the end of each section in “Reference(s).”

