American Nuclear Society

administrative controls and quality assurance for the operational phase of nuclear power plants

an American National Standard

WITHDRAWN

ANSI/ANS



published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

American National Standard Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance for the Operational Phase of Nuclear Power Plants

Secretariat
American Nuclear Society

Prepared by the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee Working Group ANS-3.2

Published by the American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Approved April 6, 1989 by the American National Standards Institute, Inc.

American National Standard

Designation of this document as an American National Standard attests that the principles of openness and due process have been followed in the approval procedure and that a consensus of those directly and materially affected by the standard has been achieved.

This standard was developed under the procedures of the Standards Committee of the American Nuclear Society; these procedures are accredited by the American National Standards Institute, Inc., as meeting the criteria for American National Standards. The consensus committee that approved the standard was balanced to assure that competent, concerned, and varied interests have had an opportunity to participate.

An American National Standard is intended to aid industry, consumers, governmental agencies, and general interest groups. Its use is entirely voluntary. The existence of an American National Standard, in and of itself, does not preclude anyone from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standard.

By publication of this standard, the American Nuclear Society does not insure anyone utilizing the standard against liability allegedly arising from or after its use. The content of this standard reflects acceptable practice at the time of its approval and publication. Changes, if any, occurring through developments in the state of the art, may be considered at the time that the standard is subjected to periodic review. It may be reaffirmed, revised, or withdrawn at any time in accordance with established procedures. Users of this standard are cautioned to determine the validity of copies in their possession and to establish that they are of the latest issue.

The American Nuclear Society accepts no responsibility for interpretations of this standard made by any individual or by any ad hoc group of individuals. Requests for interpretation should be sent to the Standards Department at Society Head-quarters. Action will be taken to provide appropriate response in accordance with established procedures that ensure consensus on the interpretation.

Comments on this standard are encouraged and should be sent to Society Headquarters.

Published by

American Nuclear Society 555 North Kensington Avenue, La Grange Park, Illinois 60525 USA

Copyright © 1989 by American Nuclear Society.

Any part of this standard may be quoted. Credit lines should read "Extracted from American National Standard ANSI/ANS-3.2-1988 with permission of the publisher, the American Nuclear Society." Reproduction prohibited under copyright convention unless written permission is granted by the American Nuclear Society.

Printed in the United States of America

(This Foreword is not a part of American National Standard Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance Foreword for the Operational Phase of Nuclear Power Plants, ANSI/ANS-3.2-1988.)

> Preparation for the first edition of this standard commenced in 1969 prior to the establishment of formal quality assurance requirements for the operation of nuclear power plants. Historically, the administrative controls section of Facility Operating License Technical Specifications had contained provisions for meeting many of the requirements that subsequently became identified with quality assurance for operation. It was the original intent of the standard to define administrative controls for this purpose. The standard was completed during a period when the subject of quality assurance was becoming of increasing interest to the nuclear community. The membership of the subcommittee that developed American National Standard Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance for the Operational Phase of Nuclear Power Plants, ANSI N18.7-1972 (ANS-3.2) was strongly oriented toward power reactor operation, and developed a document aimed at providing guidance for administrative controls over activities associated with the operation of nuclear power plants. At the same time Subcommittee N45.2, "Nuclear Quality Assurance Standards," of the American National Standards Committee N45, "Reactor Plants and Their Maintenance," was developing quality assurance standards related to design, construction, maintenance, and modification of nuclear power plant structures, systems, and components.

> When N18.7-1972 was approved and issued, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued its Safety Guide 33, (now Regulatory Guide 1.33) "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)," endorsing Draft 8 of ANS-3.2 (which later became ANSI N18.7-1972) and American National Standard "Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plants," ANSI/ASME N45.2-1971. This dual endorsement caused some confusion among users and the Executive Committee of the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) Nuclear Technical Advisory Board (now Nuclear Standards Management Board) directed that an ad hoc Task Force, comprising ANS-3 and a representative of ANSI N45.2 Subcommittees, attempt to develop a single standard that could stand alone in defining "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)." ANSI N18.7-1976 (ANS-3.2) was the result of that effort. ANSI N18.7-1976 (ANS-3.2) was subsequently endorsed by NRC Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 2 (February 1978).

> Following the Three Mile Island Unit 2 accident in 1979, ANS-3 undertook a revision of N18.7-1976 to incorporate the administrative "lessons learned" into the standard, which was subsequently published as ANSI/ANS-3.2-1982. During the course of this effort, American National Standard Quality Assurance Program Requirements for Nuclear Power Plants, ANSI/ASME NQA-1-1979, was issued and approved. This standard superseded several of the N45.2 standards which had previously been incorporated by reference into N18.7-1976. A second purpose of this revision of N18.7 was therefore to reflect the issuance of NQA-1. The NQA-1 standard has been revised subsequently in 1983 and 1986.

> Since ANS-3.2-1982 was published, the industry has moved progressively closer to an all-operating reactor environment. It is envisioned that this version can be endorsed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and be adopted by the nuclear industry to provide acceptable guidance for the establishment and implementation of an effective administrative controls and Quality Assurance Program for the operation of nuclear power plants.

The Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, "Energy," Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," Appendix B, "Quality Assurance Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants and Fuel Reprocessing Plants," defines the term "quality assurance" as "...all those planned and systematic activities necessary to provide adequate confidence that a structure, system, or component will perform satisfactorily in service." Inherent in this definition is recognition of the fact that quality assurance encompasses activities associated with doing a job correctly as well as verifying and documenting the satisfactory progress and completion of the work. The performance of work is itself the most fundamental aspect of quality assurance in its broadest sense.

On the other hand, the term quality assurance also has been frequently, and quite properly, used to describe the programs, the technical discipline and the organizational unit established to implement procedural steps to verify and document the satisfactory completion of work. In this context, the term quality assurance (as a technical specialty or as a formal organization) describes a staff support function to assist in the overall goal of the high quality performance of equipment, structures, procedures and personnel.

It should be recognized that the assurance of quality is not the sole responsibility of the formally established Quality Assurance organization. Other organizations, including plant management, are also responsible for assuring quality.

Quality verification organizations act in a measurement and advisory function, monitoring the overall performance of the plant; identifying substandard or anomalous performance, or precursors of potential problems; reporting findings in an understandable form in a timely fashion to a level of line management having the authority to effect corrective action; and promptly verifying the effectiveness of the corrective action and reporting those verification results back to line management. An effective quality verification organization is technically and performance oriented; it focuses its efforts toward end products as opposed to being concerned only with processes and procedures. The organization should have technical resources available to it, and it should be aggressive in searching for, identifying, and following up on problems.

In addition to describing administrative controls and quality assurance requirements for the operational phase of nuclear power plants, this standard provides suggestions, where appropriate, that should improve the reliability and performance of operating nuclear power plants. It should be recognized that the requirements of this standard, although formally and specifically limited by the Scope and Purpose Sections, may be applied to balance of plant equipment and activities. Such efforts should not only enhance plant reliability, but should also indirectly enhance plant safety.

Historically, quality assurance as an accepted discipline has been associated with manufacturing and construction activities from which it originated as a separate function. It is identified most clearly with systems of checks, audits, inspections, technical evaluations, and other forms of verification that can be applied to products that can be examined at various stages of manufacture or construction before they are placed in service; and with the documentation needed to show conformance to requirements and to perform investigations in the event of subsequent malfunction of these products. The nature of manufacturing or construction activities is such that time usually is available or can be taken to perform verification without affecting the quality of the product or activity.

In contrast to potential effects of deficiencies in manufacturing and construction, defi-

ciencies in operating activities can be much more immediate in their effect. For example, it is important that the dynamic aspects of operation be monitored on an essentially continuous basis. Instrumentation for monitoring, control and actuation of safety systems, and observations by, and response from, the operating staff are both extensively used for these controls and quality assurance practices. The critical appraisal by supervisory personnel of plant operating evolutions, trends in parameters, maintenance, and day-to-day work practices, is the most significant portion of ensuring the quality of plant operation (in the broad sense of the term "quality assurance"). Quality assurance (as a technical discipline or an organizational unit) of operating activities is associated principally with checking and verifying the adequacy of operating practices and obtaining correction where it is needed. This standard emphasizes that both operating staff and personnel performing other functions have important quality assurance roles in the "...planned and systematic activities..." specified in the Appendix B definition of quality.

This standard discusses requirements for preoperational tests, while recognizing that these tests fall outside the strict definition of the operational phase. This guidance was included because of the frequent heavy involvement of the operations staff in conducting the preoperational tests, and as a response to requirements to implement the operational quality assurance program prior to the start of the operational phase.

Appended to this foreword is a chart showing the comparison of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B criteria with the corresponding section of this standard.

This revised standard was prepared by Subcommittee ANS-3, Reactor Operations, of the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee. At the time of the revision, the membership of the ANS-3.2 Working Group was:

- C. H. Moseley, Jr., Chairman, Carolina Power & Light Company
- G. A. Beer, Management Analysis Company J. P. Bongarra, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- O. S. Bradham, South Carolina Electric and Gas
- C. Eldridge, Pacific Gas & Electric
- T. R. Hencey, NUS Corporation
- J. Honey, American Nuclear Insurers
- M. Malloy, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission W. J. Rudolph II, Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation

In addition, the chairman would like to acknowledge the assistance of S. L. Westman (Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation) and B. W. Wells (Carolina Power & Light Company) toward the completion of this standard.

At the time of approval of the standard, Subcommittee ANS-3, Reactor Operations and Support Activities, of the American Nuclear Society Standards Committee had the following membership:

- W. T. Ullrich, Chairman, Philadelphia Electric Company
- J. Bynum, Tennessee Valley Authority
- B. Cottle, Middle South Utilities
- L. Davis, Commonwealth Edison Company
- F. Dougherty, Tenera, L.P.
- N. S. Elliott, Westinghouse Electric Company
- J. Hannon, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- J. A. Honey, American Nuclear Insurers

- C. H. Moseley, Jr., Carolina Power & Light Company
- T. Murray, Toledo Edison Company
- R. R. Owens, Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
- R. Rodriquez, Management Analysis Company
- D. Roth, General Physics Corp.
- J. E. Smith, J. Ed's Nuclear Service Corp.
- R. N. Smith, Argonne National Laboratory
- P. Walzer, Public Service Electric & Gas Company

The American Nuclear Society's Nuclear Power Plant Standards Committee (NUPPSCO) had the following membership at the time of its approval of this standard.

W. T. Ullrich, Chairman

M. D. Weber, Secretary

W. M. Andrews	Southern Company Services, Inc.
F. Boorboor	
C. O. Coffer	Pacific Gas & Electric Company
L. J. Cooper	
J. D. Crawford	
	General Electric Company
S. N. Ehrenpreis	Westinghouse Electric Corporation
	NUS Corporation
C. J. Gill	Bechtel National, Inc.
C. E. Johnson	
M. L. Hellums	Tennessee Valley Authority
R. T. Lancet	
J. C. McCall	
J. F. Mallay	Advanced Technology Systems, Inc.
R. E. Miller	Duke Power Company
J. A. Nevshemal	Toledo Edison Company
P. T. Reichert	Stearns Catalytic, Inc.
	Duke Power Company (retired)
	Institute of Electrical & Electronics Engineers, Inc.)
	Ebasco Services, Inc.
	GPU Nuclear Corporation
S. L. Stamm	Stone & Webster Engineering
J. D. Stevenson	Stevenson & Associates
	(for the American Society of Civil Engineers)
	Institute of Nuclear Power Operations
W. T. Ullrich	Philadelphia Electric Company
	(for the American Nuclear Society)
G. J. Wrobel	

Comparison Chart of

10 CFR 50 Appendix B and ANSI/ANS-3.2-1988 Requirements

10 CFR 50	ANS-3.2-1988	Comments
Appendix B	Section	
I	1,	
I	3.1	
I	3.3	
II	3.1	
II	3.3	
II	3.4.2	Refs. ANSI/ANS-3.1, ANSI/ASME NQA-1
II	4.5.2	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1
II	5.1	
II	3.5	Refs. ANSI/ANS-3.1
II	5.3	
II	3.5	Refs. ANSI/ANS-3.1
II	3.4.2	Refs. ANSI/ANS-3.1
III	5.2.7.2	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1
IV	5.2.13.1	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1 Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1,
V	5.2.7	ANSI/ASME NQA-2, ASTM D3843
V	5.3	
VI	5.2.15	
VII	5.2.13.2	
VIII	5.2.13.3	
IX	5.2.18	
IX	5.2.12	
X	5.2.17	
XI	5.2.19	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-2
XII	5.2.16	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-2
XIII	5.2.13.4	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-2
XIV	5.2.6	
XIV	5.2.14	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1
XV	5.2.14	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1
XVI	5.2.11	
XVII	5.2.12	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1
XVIII	4.5	Refs. ANSI/ASME NQA-1

contents		ction	
ontonio	1.	Scope and Purpose	1
		1.1 Scope	1
		1.2 Purpose	1
	2.	Definitions	1
		2.1 Limitations	
		2.2 Glossary of Terms	1
	9	Owner Organization	Q
	J.	3.1 General	
		3.2 Assignment of Authority and Responsibility	
		3.3 Authorities and Responsibilities for Administrative Controls and Quality	
		Assurance Program Activities	
		3.4 Plant Operating Organization	
		3.5 Indoctrination and Training	5
	4	Reviews and Audits	5
	**	4.1 General	
		4.2 Technical Review	
		4.3 Plant Safety Review Committee (PSRC)	
		4.4 Corporate Safety Review Committee (CSRC)	
		4.5 Audit Program	8
	5	Program, Policies and Procedures	8
	٠.	5.1 Program Description	
		5.2 Program Requirements	
		5.2.1 Control of Plant Operations	
		5.2.2 Procedure Adherence	.1
		5.2.3 Administrative Controls	.1
		5.2.4 Special Orders	
		5.2.5 Temporary Procedures	
		5.2.6 Equipment Control	
		5.2.7 Maintenance and Modifications	
		5.2.8 Surveillance Testing, Calibration and Inspection Program1 5.2.9 Plant Security and Visitor Control	
		5.2.10 Housekeeping and Cleanliness Control	
		5.2.11 Corrective Actions	
		5.2.12 Plant Records Management	
		5.2.13 Procurement and Materials Control	
		5.2.14 Nonconforming Items	
		5.2.15 Review, Approval and Control of Documents	8
		5.2.16 Measuring and Test Equipment	
		5.2.17 Inspections and Examinations	
		5.2.18 Control of Special Processes	
		5.2.19 Test Control	
		5.2.20 Fire Protection	
		5.2.21 Chemistry and Radiochemistry	
		5.2.22 Radiation Protection	
		5.2.23 Radioactive Waste Management	
		5.2.25 Software and Data Base Control	
		5.2.26 Monitoring and Trending Performance	

5.3	Prepa	ration of Procedures
	5.3.1	Procedure Organization24
	5.3.2	Administrative Procedures
	5.3.3	Level of Detail
	5.3.4	Content and Format24
	5.3.5	System Procedures
	5.3.6	Procedures for Off Normal or Alarm Conditions
	5.3.7	General Plant Procedures
	5.3.8	Maintenance Procedures
	5.3.9	Radiation Control Procedures
	5.3.10	Calibration Procedures
		Chemical-Radiochemical Control Procedures
		Emergency Procedures
		Emergency Plan Implementing Procedures
		Test and Inspection Procedures
		•
6. Ref	erence	s
		The contents per sent-sent and appearance and appearance at the content of the co
Appen	dix T	ypical Procedures for Pressurized Water Reactors and
	В	oiling Water Reactors31
	A	1. Administrative Procedures
	A	2. Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) System Procedures
	A	3. Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) System Procedures32
	A	4. Procedures for Off-normal or Alarm Conditions
	A	5. General Plant Procedures
	A	6. Maintenance and Modification Procedures
	A	7. Radiation Control Procedures
	A	8. Calibration, Inspection and Test Procedures
	A	9. Chemical—Radiochemical Control Procedures
	A	10. Procedures for Combating Emergencies and Other
		Significant Events38