

# **In-line Inspection Systems Qualification**

API STANDARD 1163  
SECOND EDITION, APRIL 2013

REAFFIRMED, AUGUST 2018



AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE

## Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Classified areas may vary depending on the location, conditions, equipment, and substances involved in any given situation. Users of this Standard should consult with the appropriate authorities having jurisdiction.

Users of this Standard should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced, translated, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior written permission from the publisher. Contact the Publisher, API Publishing Services, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

*Copyright © 2013 American Petroleum Institute*

## Foreword

Pipeline operators, service providers, and the regulatory community continually strive to improve the safety and integrity of gas and liquid pipelines. In-line inspection of pipelines is a key technology utilized by the industry to help maintain systems safety and integrity.

This standard serves as an umbrella document to be used with and complement companion standards. NACE SP0102, *In-line Inspection of Pipelines* and ASNT ILI-PQ, *In-line Inspection Personnel Qualification and Certification* all have been developed enabling service providers and pipeline operators to provide rigorous processes that will consistently qualify the equipment, people, processes, and software utilized in the in-line inspection industry. The teams that have worked so diligently in the development of these three standards expect improvement in the results from in-line inspections with accompanying improvements in the safety and integrity of gas and liquid pipelines.

Appreciation is extended to the Pipeline Operators Forum for the use of their guide for in-line inspections, *Specifications and Requirements for Intelligent Pig Inspection of Pipelines*. Portions of this guide were incorporated directly into this standard.

Appreciation is also extended to the Inline Inspection Association, whose draft guide provided a running start to develop this and the companion standards referenced herein.

This standard states that performing in-line inspections requires agreements and close cooperation between service providers and operators. This standard establishes requirements of all parties for the implementation of in-line inspections, and these must be recognized by organizations utilizing the three standards. Service providers and operators must have a clear definition of assigned responsibilities to successfully apply these standards.

During the development of this standard, a number of issues of technical significance arose. A process-oriented format was adopted to incorporate the many different technologies applied in various aspects of the exploration and transportation of gas and hazardous liquids. The standard does not require specific qualification processes to accommodate the differences in the broad range of industry activities. The standard encourages the development and implementation of new and improved technologies in the future.

The definitions in this standard are taken from previously developed and accepted documents wherever possible. A significant number of definitions have been modified or clarified for this specific application. Industry is strongly encouraged to uniformly utilize these definitions so that integrity management efforts can be effectively implemented in the future. This committee recognizes the value of standardized reporting terminology.

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Shall: As used in a standard, "shall" denotes a minimum requirement in order to conform to the specification.

Should: As used in a standard, "should" denotes a recommendation or that which is advised but not required in order to conform to the specification.

This document was produced under API standardization procedures that ensure appropriate notification and participation in the developmental process and is designated as an API standard. Questions concerning the interpretation of the content of this publication or comments and questions concerning the procedures under which this publication was developed should be directed in writing to the Director of Standards, American Petroleum Institute, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Requests for permission to reproduce or translate all or any part of the material published herein should also be addressed to the director.

Generally, API standards are reviewed and revised, reaffirmed, or withdrawn at least every five years. A one-time extension of up to two years may be added to this review cycle. Status of the publication can be ascertained from the API Standards Department, telephone (202) 682-8000. A catalog of API publications and materials is published annually by API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.

# Contents

Page

<b>1</b>	<b>Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Normative References</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Terms, Definitions, and Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Terms and Definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Systems Qualification Process</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Personnel Qualification</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Operator and Service Provider Responsibilities</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>In-line Inspection System Selection</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Inspection Goals and Objectives</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Physical and Operational Characteristics and Constraints</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Selection of an In-line Inspection System</b> .....	<b>14</b>
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Performance Specification</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Qualification of Performance Specifications</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Performance Specifications</b> .....	<b>15</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Qualification Requirements</b> .....	<b>23</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Documentation and Other Requirements</b> .....	<b>25</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>System Operational Verification</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Project Requirements</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>7.3</b>	<b>Preinspection Requirements</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>7.4</b>	<b>Inspection Requirements</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>7.5</b>	<b>Postinspection Requirements</b> .....	<b>28</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>System Results Validation</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Evaluation of System Results</b> .....	<b>32</b>
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Using Validation Measurements</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>8.4</b>	<b>Conclusions on Using Validation Results</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>8.5</b>	<b>Assessment of In-line Inspection Performance</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Reporting Requirements</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>9.1</b>	<b>General</b> .....	<b>37</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>Report Contents</b> .....	<b>39</b>
<b>9.3</b>	<b>Reporting Formats</b> .....	<b>41</b>
<b>9.4</b>	<b>Data Deliverable</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Quality Management System</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>10.1</b>	<b>System Scope</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Quality System Documentation</b> .....	<b>43</b>
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Quality Control</b> .....	<b>44</b>
<b>10.4</b>	<b>Continual Improvement</b> .....	<b>45</b>
<b>10.5</b>	<b>Quality System Review</b> .....	<b>46</b>

## Contents

Page

<b>Annex A (informative) Performance Specification Example Template</b> . . . . .	<b>47</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Sample On-site Report for Caliper and MFL Inspection Tool Runs</b> . . . . .	<b>50</b>
<b>Annex C (informative) Estimating the Performance Specifications from a Comparison of Individual Validation Measurements</b> . . . . .	<b>53</b>
<b>Annex D (informative) Excerpt of API Data Integration Matrix</b> . . . . .	<b>71</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Example Validation Dig Documentation</b> . . . . .	<b>74</b>
<b>Annex F (informative) Example: On-site Feature Location/Verification Activities</b> . . . . .	<b>76</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> . . . . .	<b>79</b>

## Figures

<b>1 Inspection Terminology</b> . . . . .	<b>2</b>
<b>2 In-line Inspection Process Flow Diagram</b> . . . . .	<b>13</b>
<b>3 Dimensional Classes for Metal Loss Indications</b> . . . . .	<b>17</b>
<b>4 Probability of Detection Function vs Metal Loss Depth</b> . . . . .	<b>20</b>
<b>5 Overview of Three Levels of ILI Validation</b> . . . . .	<b>31</b>
<b>6 Inspection Results Verification and Validation Process</b> . . . . .	<b>33</b>
<b>C.1 Unity Chart Example</b> . . . . .	<b>57</b>
<b>C.2 Example of Error Plot</b> . . . . .	<b>59</b>
<b>C.3 Example: Unity Plot of Two Independent Sets of Measurements</b> . . . . .	<b>62</b>
<b>C.4 Error Plot as a Function of Reported Feature Depth</b> . . . . .	<b>66</b>
<b>C.5 Unity Plot with a 10 wt % Reporting Threshold Applied to Both ILI and Field Recorded Depth Values</b> . . . . .	<b>66</b>
<b>E.1 Metal Loss Profile for Interaction Criteria</b> . . . . .	<b>75</b>
<b>F.1 Feature Location Example</b> . . . . .	<b>77</b>
<b>F.2 “Gap” Interpolation Example</b> . . . . .	<b>77</b>

## Tables

<b>1 Characterizing Cracking Probabilities of Detection—Depth Detection Threshold</b> . . . . .	<b>19</b>
<b>2 Characterizing Metal Loss Probabilities of Detection—Proximity to Welds</b> . . . . .	<b>19</b>
<b>3 Characterizing Cracking Probabilities of Identification</b> . . . . .	<b>20</b>
<b>A.1 Features and Probabilities of Identification</b> . . . . .	<b>47</b>
<b>A.2 Example Probabilities of Detection and Sizing Tolerances for Metal Loss (at a Specified Confidence Level)</b> . . . . .	<b>48</b>
<b>A.3 Direction and Sizing Accuracy for Crack or Crack-like Anomalies</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
<b>A.4 Detection and Sizing Accuracies for Dents and Ovalities</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
<b>A.5 Detection and Sizing Accuracy in 90° Bends</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
<b>A.6 Location Accuracy of Features</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
<b>A.7 Horizontal and Vertical Accuracy of Pipeline Location as a Function of Marker Distance and Certainty</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
<b>C.1 Example: Agreement Test of Two Independent Sets of Measurements</b> . . . . .	<b>62</b>
<b>D.1 Sample of API Enhanced Data Integration Survey Results</b> . . . . .	<b>72</b>

## Introduction

This standard provides requirements for qualification of in-line inspection systems used in gas and hazardous liquid pipelines. The standard facilitates the following.

- a) Inspection service providers make clear, uniform, and verifiable statements describing in-line inspection system performance.
- b) Pipeline operators select an inspection system suitable for the conditions under which the inspection will be conducted. This includes, but is not limited to, the pipeline material characteristics, pipeline operating conditions, and types of anomalies expected to be detected and characterized.
- c) The in-line inspection system operates properly under the conditions specified.
- d) Inspection procedures are followed before, during, and after the inspection.
- e) Anomalies are described using a common nomenclature, as described in this standard and referenced documents.
- f) The reported data and inspection results provide the expected accuracy and quality in a consistent format.

Users of this standard should be aware that further or differing requirements may be needed for some applications. Nothing in this standard is intended to inhibit the use of inspection systems or engineering solutions that are not covered by the standard. This may be particularly applicable where there is innovative developing technology. Where an alternative is offered, the standard may be used, provided any and all variations from the standard are identified and documented.

Personnel and equipment used to perform in-line inspections and analyze the results shall be qualified according to this standard and its companions, ASNT ILI-PQ, *In-line Inspection Personnel Qualification and Certification* and NACE SP0102, *In-line Inspection of Pipelines*. This standard is an umbrella document covering all aspects of in-line inspection systems, incorporating the requirements of ASNT ILI-PQ and NACE SP0102 by reference.

This standard is not technology specific. It accommodates present and future technologies used for in-line inspection systems. This standard is performance based and provides requirements for qualification processes. It does not, however, define how to meet those requirements. This standard defines the documentation of processes for in-line inspection system qualifications. One objective of this standard is to foster continual improvement in the quality and accuracy of in-line inspections. Wherever possible, this standard utilizes existing terms and definitions from other applicable standards. Section 3 provides definitions of terms.

The use of an in-line inspection system to manage the integrity of pipelines requires close cooperation and interaction between the provider of the inspection service (service provider) and the beneficiary of the service (operator). This standard provides requirements that will enable service providers and operators to clearly define the areas of cooperation required and thus ensure the satisfactory outcome of the inspection process. While service providers have the responsibility to identify in-line inspection system capabilities, their proper use, and application, operators bear the ultimate responsibility to:

- a) identify specific risks (threats) to be investigated,
- b) choose the proper inspection technology,
- c) maintain operating conditions within performance specification limits,
- d) confirm inspection results.

Following the standard provides a consistent means of assessing, using, and validating results from in-line inspection systems such that acceptable inspection results are obtained.

# In-line Inspection Systems Qualification

## 1 Scope

This standard covers the use of in-line inspection (ILI) systems for onshore and offshore gas and hazardous liquid pipelines. This includes, but is not limited to, tethered, self-propelled, or free flowing systems for detecting metal loss, cracks, mechanical damage, pipeline geometries, and pipeline location or mapping. The standard applies to both existing and developing technologies.

This standard is an umbrella document that provides performance-based requirements for ILI systems, including procedures, personnel, equipment, and associated software.

## 2 Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ASNT ILI-PQ <sup>1</sup>, *In-line Inspection Personnel Qualification and Certification*

NACE SP0102:2010 <sup>2</sup>, *In-line Inspection of Pipelines*

## 3 Terms, Definitions, and Abbreviations

### 3.1 Terms and Definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following definitions apply.

#### 3.1.1

##### **aboveground marker**

##### **AGM**

A spatial reference point that is identifiable as a distinct feature in the ILI.

NOTE This may also include the ability to detect and record the passage of an ILI tool.

#### 3.1.2

##### **actionable anomaly**

An anomaly that may exceed acceptable limits based on the operator's anomaly and pipeline data analysis (see Figure 1).

#### 3.1.3

##### **anomaly**

An unexamined deviation from the norm in pipe material, coatings, or welds, which may or may not be a defect. See also **imperfection**, **defect**, and **feature** (see Figure 1).

#### 3.1.4

##### **anomaly and pipeline data analysis**

The process through which anomaly and pipeline data are integrated and analyzed to further classify and characterize anomalies.

---

<sup>1</sup> American Society for Nondestructive Testing, 1711 Arlingate Lane, P.O. Box 28518, Columbus, Ohio 43228, [www.asnt.org](http://www.asnt.org).

<sup>2</sup> NACE International (formerly the National Association of Corrosion Engineers), 1440 South Creek Drive, Houston, Texas 77218-8340, [www.nace.org](http://www.nace.org).