Materials, Fabrication, and Repair Considerations for Hydrogen Reformer Furnace Outlet Pigtails and Manifolds

API TECHNICAL REPORT 942-A FIRST EDITION, JUNE 2014



Special Notes

API publications necessarily address problems of a general nature. With respect to particular circumstances, local, state, and federal laws and regulations should be reviewed.

Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, committees, or other assignees make any warranty or representation, either express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained herein, or assume any liability or responsibility for any use, or the results of such use, of any information or process disclosed in this publication. Neither API nor any of API's employees, subcontractors, consultants, or other assignees represent that use of this publication would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

API publications may be used by anyone desiring to do so. Every effort has been made by the Institute to assure the accuracy and reliability of the data contained in them; however, the Institute makes no representation, warranty, or guarantee in connection with this publication and hereby expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility for loss or damage resulting from its use or for the violation of any authorities having jurisdiction with which this publication may conflict.

API publications are published to facilitate the broad availability of proven, sound engineering and operating practices. These publications are not intended to obviate the need for applying sound engineering judgment regarding when and where these publications should be utilized. The formulation and publication of API publications is not intended in any way to inhibit anyone from using any other practices.

Any manufacturer marking equipment or materials in conformance with the marking requirements of an API standard is solely responsible for complying with all the applicable requirements of that standard. API does not represent, warrant, or guarantee that such products do in fact conform to the applicable API standard.

Users of this Technical Report should not rely exclusively on the information contained in this document. Sound business, scientific, engineering, and safety judgment should be used in employing the information contained herein.

Foreword

Nothing contained in any API publication is to be construed as granting any right, by implication or otherwise, for the manufacture, sale, or use of any method, apparatus, or product covered by letters patent. Neither should anything contained in the publication be construed as insuring anyone against liability for infringement of letters patent.

Suggested revisions are invited and should be submitted to the Standards Department, API, 1220 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005, standards@api.org.



Contents

		Page
1	Scope	1
2	Technical Approach	1
3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	Results of Literature Survey. General	2 7 8 9
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	Results of Industry Survey	18 19 19
4.5 4.6 4.7	Operating Conditions: Temperature, Pressure, and Cyclic Operation	21
4.8 4.9 4.10	Inspection Programs	23
5 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Industry Case Studies. General Case 1: Creep Cracking of Bull Tee to Header Welds Case 2: Creep Failure of Outlet Header Case 3: Pigtail Ruptures Case 4: Stress Relaxation Cracking of Alloy 800HT Pigtail Section Case 5: Weldability Issues with Cast 20Cr-32Ni-Nb	28 28 32 35 35
5.7 5.8	Case 6: Repair and Mitigation of Cast 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Tees	
6	Conclusions	43
7	Acknowledgments	44
Ann	ex A (informative) Analysis of Stress-rupture Data Using Larson-Miller Parameter	45
Bibli	iography	
Figu 1		44
1 2	Example of HAZ Liquation Cracking in Aged 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Casting Example of Reheat Cracking in Aged 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Casting	
3	Location of Cracking in Aged 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Cast Tee Piece after Welding a New Cone Piece to it	
4	Example of Cracking at Subheader to Tee Weld; Both Are 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Castings	
5	Typical Pressure and Temperature Ranges for Steam-Methane Reforming	13
6	Typical Designs of Outlet Manifold Systems	
7	Typical Designs of Outlet Pigtail Systems	
8	Distribution of Reformer Designers in Survey	
9	Age Distribution of Reformers in Survey	20

Contents

		Page
10	Ranges of Operating Temperature for Reformers in Survey	. 21
11	Ranges of Operating Pressure for Reformers in Survey	
12	Stress-rupture Curves for the 20Cr 32Ni Nb Alloy	. 24
13	Comparison of Stress-rupture Behavior of Hot-manifold Alloys and Weldments at 816 °C (1500 °F) .	. 27
14	Comparison of Stress-rupture Behavior of Hot-Manifold Alloys and Weldments at 871 °C (1600 °F) .	. 28
15	Comparison of Stress-rupture Behavior of Hot-manifold Alloys and Weldments at 927 °C (1700 °F) .	. 29
16	Comparison of Stress-rupture Behavior of 2133 Mn and 21/33 So Welds with That of 21Cr-32Ni-Nb	
	Alloy Base Metal	. 30
17	Drawing of Central Portion of Outlet Manifold Showing Central Portion of Header, Bull Tee, and	
	Increaser Cone	. 30
18	Photograph of Bull Tee Showing Areas Where Cracking of Welds Was Observed	. 31
19	Results of Finite-element Stress Analysis of Outlet Manifold for Internal Pressure Loading of	
	1862 kPa (270 psig)	. 31
20	Photomicrograph of Creep Cracking and Voids Observed in Bull Tee Weld	. 32
21	Photograph of Cracking Observed in Ring Section from Outlet Header	
22	Photomicrograph of Creep Cracking at Crack Location Shown in Figure 21 (As-polished)	. 33
23	Photomicrograph of Aligned Creep Voids Near Outer Surface on Side Opposite Cracking of Header	
	Ring Section Shown in Figure 21 and Figure 22 (As-polished)	. 34
24	Photomicrograph of Creep Cracking on Surface of Header as Seen on Metallographic Replica	. 34
25	Photograph of Alloy 800HT Inlet Pigtail and Attachment Fitting	
26	Photograph of Cracking of Alloy 800HT Inlet Pigtail Shown in Figure 25	. 36
27	Photomicrograph of Crack in Alloy 800HT Inlet Pigtail Showing Evidence of Stress Relaxation	
	Cracking	. 37
28	Photomicrograph of Showing Typical Aged Microstructure of 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Cone Material	. 38
29	Photomicrograph Showing Evidence of Liquation Cracking in Weldability Test Sample of Aged	
	20Cr-32Ni-Nb Cone Material	. 38
30	Photomicrograph Showing Evidence of Reheat Cracking in Weldability Test Sample of Aged	
	20Cr-32Ni-Nb Cone Material	. 39
31	Photograph of Showing Locations of Old Tee and New Reducer Cone in 20Cr-32Ni-Nb	
	Outlet Manifold	. 40
32	Photograph of Showing Location of Cracking That Occurred When New Centrifugally Cast	
	20Cr-32Ni-Nb Reducer Cone Was Welded to Old 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Statically Cast Tee	. 40
33	Photograph Showing Cracking That Was Found in Base Metal of Old 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Statically	
	Cast Tee after Welding	. 41
34	Cracking at the Weld Joint Between an Alloy 800HT Forged Fitting, and an Alloy 800HT Outlet	
	Transfer Line	. 43
Tab		
1	Chemical Composition and Grain Size Requirements for the Variants of Alloy 800	3
2	Specifications of Typical Welding Consumables for Hydrogen Reformer Outlet Manifolds	
	and Pigtails	. 10
3	Chemical Compositions of Weld Metals Used in Hydrogen Reformer Outlet Manifolds and Pigtails .	. 11
4	Typical Chemical Compositions of the 20Cr-32Ni-Nb Alloy and Chemical Composition of a	
	Modified HT Alloy	. 24

Materials, Fabrication, and Repair Considerations for Hydrogen Reformer Furnace Outlet Pigtails and Manifolds

1 Scope

The American Petroleum Institute (API) Committee on Refinery Equipment, Subcommittee on Corrosion and Materials undertook a project to develop this technical report on materials, fabrication, and repair issues related to hydrogen and syngas reformer furnace outlet pigtails and manifolds. High reliability of outlet pigtails and manifold components, such as headers, tees, and fittings, is important to the successful long-term operation of hydrogen and syngas reformer furnaces. These components typically operate at high temperatures in the range of 750 °C to 950 °C (1382 °F to 1742 °F) where they are potentially subject to high-temperature creep, stress relaxation, hot corrosion, and thermal fatigue damage.

In recent years a number of reformer furnace operators have encountered problems of in-service degradation and cracking of outlet pigtails and manifold components, while others have had little or no problems of this type. Both direct experience in addressing specific cases of outlet pigtail and manifold cracking problems and indirect experience gained from surveying industry with regard to these problems were used in preparing this report.

The objective of the project was to develop an understanding, based on published literature and industry experience, of why some reformer furnaces have had problems with embrittlement and cracking of outlet pigtails and manifold components in service, while others have not had such problems.

2 Technical Approach

The project objective was achieved by reviewing relevant published literature and industry experience and then documenting the results of that review in this report. The published technical literature was reviewed using the following four databases:

- ScienceDirect (http://www.sciencedirect.com/),
- Engineering Village 2 (http://www.engineeringvillage2.org/).
- CSA Materials Research Database with METADEX (http://www.csa.com/),
- REFIN*COR 8.0 database (http://www.nace.org/).

The first two databases were available through a library service. The third database was available through The Welding Institute (TWI), while the fourth one was available through NACE International. The proceedings of AIChE Ammonia Plants & Related Facilities Symposia [1-4] were also searched because they were known to contain a number of relevant technical papers. These symposia proceedings are published as searchable CDs, which facilitated identification and review of relevant papers. In addition, literature was obtained through personal contacts with members of the API Subcommittee of Corrosion and Materials and records of roundtable presentations at past API meetings.

Industry was surveyed by sending a questionnaire to key contacts at companies who operate reformer furnaces or provide materials used in outlet pigtails and manifold components. A draft questionnaire was prepared and submitted to the API project committee for review and comment. Recommendations from that review then were incorporated into the final questionnaire. The survey was distributed in two ways. First, API sent it to select members deemed to have an interest in the topic. Second, it was sent to individuals in companies that operate reformer furnaces in other industries or in international refining companies not affiliated with API.

Section 6 of this report lists references to the published literature that is cited herein. The sources of industry comments have been kept anonymous; each respondent is simply identified by a code. The information and