ETSI TR 126 906 V14.0.0 (2017-04)

Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS);
LTE;
Evaluation of High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC)
for 3GPP services
(3GPP TR 26.906 version 14.0.0 Release 14)

Reference
RTR/TSGS-0426906ve00

Keywords
LTE,UMTS

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:
http://www.etsi.org/standards-search

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.
Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at
https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:
https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.
The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2017.
All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS**™, **UMTS**™ and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE**™ are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.
GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights 2
Foreword 2
Modal verbs terminology 2
Foreword 5
1 Scope 6
2 References 6
3 Definitions and abbreviations 7
3.1 Definitions 7
3.2 Abbreviations 7
4 Introduction 8
5 Overview of H.265 (HEVC) 8
5.1 Key coding-tool features of H.265 (HEVC) and differences versus H.264 (AVC) 8
5.2 Complexity of H.265 (HEVC) 10
5.3 Systems and transport interfaces of H.265 (HEVC) and differences versus H.264 (AVC) 10
5.4 H.265 (HEVC) for image coding 13
6 Test case definitions 14
6.1 Introduction 14
6.2 Test cases for evaluation of H.265 (HEVC) for video coding 14
6.2.1 Generic test cases 14
6.2.2 Test sequences and codec software 15
6.2.2.1 Test sequences 15
6.2.2.1.1 Down-sampling filter 15
6.2.2.2 Codec software 16
6.2.3 Quality evaluation metrics 16
6.2.4 Complexity analysis 17
6.2.5 Test conditions for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS 17
6.2.5.1 General testing settings 17
6.2.5.2 Test sequences 17
6.2.5.3 Encoding settings 17
6.2.6 Test conditions for MMS 18
6.2.6.1 General testing settings 18
6.2.6.2 Test sequences 18
6.2.6.3 Encoding settings 18
6.2.7 Test conditions for MTSI 19
6.2.7.1 General testing settings 19
6.2.7.2 Test sequences 19
6.2.7.3 Encoding settings 19
6.3 Test cases for evaluation of H.265 (HEVC) for image coding 20
6.3.1 Codec software 20
6.3.2 Test sequences 21
6.3.3 Encoding settings 21
6.3.4 Evaluation metrics 21
7 Test results for video coding 21
7.1 Introduction 21
7.2 Summaries of the first set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS 21
7.3 Summaries of the second set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS 22
7.4 Summaries of the third set of objective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS 26
7.4.1 Test setup 26
7.4.2 Test summaries 27
7.5 Subjective test results for 3GP-DASH, PSS, and MBMS 27
7.5.1 Test setup 28
7.5.1.1 Test material 28

7.5.1.2 Display by terminal 28
7.5.1.3 Test conditions 29
7.5.1.4 Subjective test procedure 29
7.5.1.5 Test methodology 29
7.5.1.6 Test design 30
7.5.1.7 Test environment 30
7.5.1.8 MOS test tool 31
7.5.1.9 Test devices 31
7.5.1.10 Test subjects 31
7.5.2 Subjective test results 31
7.5.2.1 Smartphone results 31
7.5.2.2 Tablet results 36
7.5.3 Summary of the subjective tests 38
7.6 Summaries of subjective test results for MTSI 39
7.6.1 Introduction 39
7.6.2 Test setup 39
7.6.2.1 Test material 39
7.6.2.2 Video codecs 40
7.6.2.3 Display by terminal 40
7.6.2.4 Test conditions 40
7.6.2.5 Subjective test procedure 40
7.6.2.6 Test methodology 40
7.6.2.7 Test environment 41
7.6.2.8 MOS test tool 41
7.6.2.9 Test devices 41
7.6.2.10 Test subjects 41
7.6.3 Subjective test results 41
7.6.3.1 Smartphone results 41
7.6.3.2 Tablet results 48
7.6.4 Summary of the subjective tests 55
7.7 Summaries of objective test results for MMS and MTSI 55
7.7.1 Results for MMS 56
7.7.2 Results for MTSI 59
7.7.2.1 H.265 (HEVC) Main profile vs. H.264 (AVC) Constrained Baseline profile 59
7.7.2.2 H.265 (HEVC) Main profile vs. H.264 (AVC) High profile 62

8 Test results for image coding 65

9 Conclusions 65
9.1 H.265 (HEVC) for video coding 65
9.1.1 3GP-DASH 65
9.1.2 PSS 65
9.1.3 MBMS 65
9.1.4 MMS 65
9.1.5 MTSI 66
9.1.6 IMS Messaging and Presence 66
9.2 H.265 (HEVC) for image coding 66

Annex A: Change history 67

History 68

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
  - 1 presented to TSG for information;
  - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
  - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

1 Scope

The present document reports the evaluation of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) codec in 3GPP services. It provides an overview of the codec and a comparison to H.264 (AVC) codec. The support of H.264 (AVC) is mandated for 3GP-DASH (TS 26.247[18]), PSS (TS 26.234 [19]), MBMS (TS 26.346 [20]), 3GPP file format (TS 26.244 [21]), MTSI (TS 26.114 [22]) and MMS (TS 26.140 [23]) in Release 11. The present document reports on the performance of H.265 (HEVC) when used in 3GPP services for video coding in comparison to H.264 (AVC) and the performance of H.265 (HEVC) when used in 3GPP services for image coding in comparison to JPEG. Performance is evaluated in typical 3GPP service environments taking into account bandwidth and coding efficiency, user experience and complexity. Based on the performance results, recommendations are provided for the proper inclusion of H.265 (HEVC) in 3GPP services.

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

[1] 3GPP TR 21 905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

[2] Sullivan, G. J.; Ohm, J.-R.; Han, W.-J.; Wiegand T., "Overview of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) Standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1649-1668, Dec. 2012.

[3] Bossen, F.; Bross, B.; Suhring, K.; Flynn D., "HEVC Complexity and Implementation Analysis," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1685-1696, Dec. 2012.

[4] Vanne, J.; Viitanen, M.; Hamalainen, T. D.; Hallapuro A., "Comparative Rate-Distortion-Complexity Analysis of HEVC and AVC Video Cdecs," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, vol.22, no.12, pp.1885-1898, Dec. 2012.

[5] F. Bosen, "On software complexity," document JCTVC-G757 of JCT-VC, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2011.

[6] F. Bosen, "On software complexity: decoding 720p content on a tablet," document JCTVC-J0128 of JCT-VC, Stockholm, Sweden, Jul. 2012.

[7] K. McCann, J.-Y. Choi, e al, "HEVC software player demonstration on mobile devices," document JCTVC-G988 of JCT-VC, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2011.

[8] K. Veera, R. Ganguly, e al, "A real-time ARM HEVC decoder implementation," document JCTVC-H0693 of JCT-VC, José, CA, USA, Feb. 2012.

[9] J.-R. Ohm, G. J. Sullivan, H. Schwarz, T. K. Tan, and T. Wiegand, "Comparison of the coding efficiency of video coding standards – including high efficiency video coding (HEVC)," IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, December 2012.

[10] V. Baroncini, G. J. Sullivan, and J.-R. Ohm, "Report on preliminary subjective testing of HEVC compression capability," document JCTVC-H1004 of JCT-VC, San José, USA, Feb. 2012.

[11] Y. Zhao, et al, "Coding efficiency comparison between HM5.0 and JM16.2 based on PQI, PSNR and SSIM," document JCTVC-H0063 of JCT-VC, San José, USA, Feb. 2012.