IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

IEEE Standard for Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture

IEEE Computer Society

Sponsored by the
Test Technology Standards Committee

IEEE
3 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5997
USA

13 May 2013

IEEE Std 1149.1™-2013
(Revision of
IEEE Std 1149.1-2001)

IEEE Std 1149.1™-2013
(Revision of
IEEE Std 1149.1-2001)

IEEE Standard for Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture

Sponsor

Test Technology Standards Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society

Approved 6 February 2013

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: Circuitry that may be built into an integrated circuit to assist in the test, maintenance and support of assembled printed circuit boards and the test of internal circuits is defined. The circuitry includes a standard interface through which instructions and test data are communicated. A set of test features is defined, including a boundary-scan register, such that the component is able to respond to a minimum set of instructions designed to assist with testing of assembled printed circuit boards. Also, a language is defined that allows rigorous structural description of the component-specific aspects of such testability features, and a second language is defined that allows rigorous procedural description of how the testability features may be used.
Keywords: boundary scan, boundary-scan architecture, Boundary-Scan Description Language (BSDL), boundary-scan register, circuit boards, circuitry, IEEE 1149.1™, integrated circuit, printed circuit boards, Procedural Description Language (PDL), test, test access port (TAP), very high speed integrated circuit (VHSIC), VHSIC Hardware Description Language (VHDL)

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

PDF: ISBN 978-0-7381-8263-6 STD98160
Print: ISBN 978-0-7381-8264-3 STDPD98160

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Documents: IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

Use of an IEEE Standard is wholly voluntary. IEEE disclaims liability for any personal injury, property or other damage, of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance upon any IEEE Standard document.

IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained in its standards, and expressly disclaims any express or implied warranty, including any implied warranty of merchantability or fitness for a specific purpose, or that the use of the material contained in its standards is free from patent infringement. IEEE Standards documents are supplied "**AS IS**."

The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard. Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every ten years. When a document is more than ten years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity. Nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

Translations: The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE should be considered the approved IEEE standard.

Official Statements: A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE.

Comments on Standards: Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. However, IEEE does not provide consulting information or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important to ensure that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. Any person who would like to participate in evaluating comments or revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group at http://standards.ieee.org/develop/wg/.

Comments on standards should be submitted to the following address:

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway, NJ 08854-4141
USA

Photocopies: Authorization to photocopy portions of any individual standard for internal or personal use is granted by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., provided that the appropriate fee is paid to Copyright Clearance Center. To arrange for payment of licensing fee, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Notice to users

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

Updating of IEEE documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect. In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE-SA Website at http://standards.ieee.org/index.html or contact the IEEE at the address listed previously. For more information about the IEEE Standards Association or the IEEE standards development process, visit IEEE-SA Website at http://standards.ieee.org/index.html.

Errata

Errata, if any, for this and all other standards can be accessed at the following URL: http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE-SA Website http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

Participants

At the time this standard was submitted to the IEEE-SA Standards Board for approval, the P1149.1 Working Group had the following membership:

C. J. Clark, *Chair*
Carol Pyron, *Vice-Chair*
Carl F. Barnhart, *Editor*
Bill Tuthill, *Secretary*

John Braden
Bill Bruce
Richard Cornejo
Adam Cron
Wim Driessen
David Dubberke
Ted Eaton
Heiko Ehrenberg
William Eklow
Peter Elias
Joshua Ferry
Jeff Halnon
Dharma Konda
Roland R. Latvala
Adam W. Ley
Sankaran Menon
Kent Ng
Kenneth P. Parker
Francisco Russi
John Seibold
Roger Sowada
Craig Stephan
Brian Turmelle
Hugh Wallace

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Gobinathan Athimolom
Carl F. Barnhart
Hugh Barrass
William Borroz
John Braden
Dennis Brophy
Susan Burgess
Gunnar Carlsson
Vivek Chickermane
C. J. Clark
Richard Cornejo
Adam Cron
Alfred Crouch
Frans G De Jong
Jason Doege
Wim Driessen
David Dubberke
Sourav Dutta
Heiko Ehrenberg
William Eklow
Peter Elias
Joshua Ferry
Chris Gorringe
Prashant Goteti
Robert Gottlieb
J. Grealish
Randall Groves
Jeff Halnon
Peter Harrod
Neil Glenn Jacobson
Rohit Kapur
Dharma Konda
Roland R. Latvala
Philippe LeBourg
Adam W. Ley
Teresa Lopes
Greg Luri
Wayne Manges
Colin Maunder
Ian Mcintosh
Harrison Miles Jr.
Jeffrey Moore
Benoit Nadeau-Dostie
Ion Neag
Kenneth P. Parker
Steve Poehlman
Ulrich Pohl
Irith Pomeranz
John Potter
Carol Pyron
Mike Ricchetti
Gordon Robinson
Andrzej Rucinski
Francisco Russi
Bartien Sayogo
John Seibold
Ozgur Sinanoglu
Roger Sowada
Craig Stephan
Cees Stork
Walter Struppler
Stephen Sunter
Bambang Suparjo
Anthony Suto
Efren Taboada
David Thompson
Brian Turmelle
Bill Tuthill
Louis Ungar
Dmitri Varsanofiev
Srinivasa Vemuru
John Vergis
Tom Waayers
Douglas D. Way
Thomas Williams
Henk Wit
Oren Yuen
Janusz Zalewski

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this standard on 6 February 2013, it had the following membership:

Richard H. Hulett, *Chair*
Robert Grow, *Past Chair*
Konstantinos Karachalios, *Secretary*

Satish Aggarwal	Alexander Gelman	Oleg Logvinov
Masayuki Ariyoshi	Paul Houzé	Ted Olsen
Peter Balma	Jim Hughes	Gary Robinson
William Bartley	Young Kyun Kim	Jon Walter Rosdahl
Ted Burse	Joseph L. Koepfinger*	Mike Seavey
Clint Chaplin	David J. Law	Yatin Trivedi
Wael William Diab	Thomas Lee	Phil Winston
Jean-Philippe Faure	Hung Ling	Yu Yuan

*Member Emeritus

Also included are the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaisons:

Richard DeBlasio, *DOE Representative*
Michael Janezic, *NIST Representative*

Don Messina
IEEE Standards Program Manager, Document Development

Kathryn Bennett
IEEE Standards Program Manager, Technical Program Development

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 1149.1-2013, IEEE Standard for Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture.

This standard defines a test access port and boundary-scan architecture for digital integrated circuits and for the digital portions of mixed analog/digital integrated circuits. The facilities defined by the standard seek to provide a solution to the problem of testing assembled printed circuit boards and other products based on highly complex digital integrated circuits and high-density surface-mounting assembly techniques. They also provide a means of accessing and controlling design-for-test features built into the digital integrated circuits themselves. Such features might, for example, include internal scan paths and self-test functions as well as other features intended to support service applications in the assembled product.

In addition, two languages are provided to describe both the structure of the test logic and the procedures needed to use the test logic.

History of the development of this standard

The process of developing this standard began in 1985 when the Joint European Test Action Group (JETAG) was formed in Europe. During 1986, this group expanded to include members from both Europe and North America and, as a result, was renamed the Joint Test Action Group (JTAG). Between 1986 and 1988, the JTAG Technical Subcommittee developed and published a series of proposals for a standardized form of boundary scan. In 1988, the last of these proposals, JTAG Version 2.0, was offered to the IEEE Testability Bus Standards Committee (P1149) for inclusion in the standard then under development. The Testability Bus Standards Committee accepted this approach. It decided that the JTAG proposal should become the basis of a standard within the Testability Bus family, with the result that the P1149.1 project was initiated. Following these decisions, the JTAG Technical Subcommittee became the core of the IEEE Working Group that developed this standard.

After the initial approval of this standard in February 1990 and its subsequent publication, the Working Group immediately began efforts to develop a supplement for the purpose of correction, clarification, and enhancement. This effort, spurred and guided by interaction between developers and users of the original standard, culminated in IEEE Std 1149.1a™-1993, which was approved in June 1993.

The major changes to this standard introduced by IEEE Std 1149.1a-1993 were as follows:

— The addition of two optional instructions, *CLAMP* and *HIGHZ*, which standardized the names and specifications of features often implemented as design-specific features.

— The addition of an optional facility to switch a component from a mode in which it complies to this standard into one in which it supports another design-for-test approach.

Furthermore, starting with a proposal made by Kenneth P. Parker and Stig Oresjo in 1990, an effort was undertaken to develop a language to describe components that conform to this standard. This effort concluded in the approval of IEEE Std 1149.1b™-1994 in September 1994.

The major change introduced to this standard by IEEE Std 1149.1b-1994 was the addition of Annex B, which defines the Boundary-Scan Description Language. All other changes were minor and were strictly for clarification.

The 2001 revision was primarily a housekeeping update, designed to incorporate learning from the first 10 years of the standard's use into the standard document. The principal changes introduced were as follows:

— To reduce the risk of accidental entry into test mode, the requirement that a binary code for the *EXTEST* instruction be {000...0} was removed and use of this binary code for other instructions that result in entry to test mode was deprecated.

— To increase the flexibility with which instructions may be implemented and merged, the implicitly merged *SAMPLE/PRELOAD* instruction was redefined as two separate instructions: *SAMPLE* and *PRELOAD*. *These instructions can continue to share a single binary code*, effectively resulting in a merged *SAMPLE/PRELOAD* instruction, but alternatively, they may now share binary codes with other instructions, provided that no rules applying to any of the merged instructions are violated.

— To enable more efficient implementation of boundary-scan register cells provided at system logic outputs, the source of data to be captured in such cells in response to the *SAMPLE* instruction was allowed to be at the connected system pin. Additionally, three new cell types based on this implementation (**BC_8, BC_9,** and **BC_10**) were added to the standard Boundary-Scan Description Language (BSDL) Package and Package Body.

— To permit more flexible boundary-scan register cell implementations, sharing of circuitry between the boundary-scan register and other elements of the test and/or system logic were allowed in limited cases.

— To support more complete description of IC pin drivers with bus keeper circuits, a new value for <disable result> was defined (**KEEPER**).

— To track the widespread acceptance of BSDL, the language was made a normative part of this standard and its use for documentation was mandated.

Additionally, a number of minor changes were made to correct and clarify the language of this standard.

Changes introduced by this revision

First, this version of the standard affirms what had been required in the previous (2001) version. There are only minor clarifications or relaxations to the rules that are already established. It is expected that components currently compliant with the previous version of this standard will remain compliant with this one. The one exception is that the previously deprecated **BC_6** boundary-scan cell is no longer supported or defined, and the component supplier must provide a user-supplied BSDL package defining the **BC_6** cell for any component using the **STD_1149_1_2013** standard Package and still using that cell.

Second, while this is a major revision, items introduced in this version are optional and intended to provide test improvements for the complex components being created today and in the foreseeable future. There are also significant improvements in documentation capability, including the introduction of a new language to document test procedures unique to the component.

The major changes, listed in the order in which they appear in this standard, are as follows:

In the standard body:

— A new, optional, test mode persistence controller that can maintain the IEEE 1149.1 test logic in test mode even if the active instruction does not force test mode. Clause 6 is now split into 6.1 for the TAP controller and 6.2 for the test mode persistence controller. In support of this new controller, there are three new instructions: *CLAMP_HOLD* and *TMP_STATUS* in 8.20, with the new TMP status test data register in Clause 16; and *CLAMP_RELEASE* in 8.20.

— A new, optional *ECIDCODE* instruction in 8.15 and its electronic chip identification test data register in Clause 13 to supplement the existing *IDCODE* and *USERCODE* instructions and allow for the recovery of an Electronic Chip Identification value used to identify and track individual integrated circuits.

— A new, optional, component initialization mechanism to provide more flexibility in preparing the component for test. The *INIT_SETUP, INIT_SETUP_CLAMP,* and *INIT_RUN* instructions in 8.17, 8.18, and 8.19, and their new initialization data and initialization status test data registers in Clause 14 and Clause 15, respectively. This will allow programmable input/output (I/O) to be set up prior to board or system testing, as well as any tasks required to put the system logic into a safe state for test.

— A new, optional, *IC_RESET* instruction in 8.21 and its reset_select test data register in Clause 17 to provide control of component reset functions through the TAP.

— In 9.2, an optional standard TAP to test data register interface is recommended, and examples of different types of test data register cells using this interface are shown. In addition, the concept of register segments is expanded to allow for segments that may be excluded or included. This is introduced to support power domains that may be powered down, and yet may have a segment of a test data register within that domain. However, the capability was kept general.

— In the new 9.4, the rules for defining and controlling the new excludable and selectable segments are established.

— Boundary-scan register description in Clause 11 has been updated to support:

  i) Optional excludable (but not selectable) boundary-scan register segments
  ii) Optional observe-only boundary-scan register cells to redundantly capture the signal value on all digital pins except the TAP pins
  iii) Optional observe-only boundary-scan register cells to capture a fault condition on all pins, including nondigital pins, except the TAP pins

— Documentation requirements in Clause 18 have been updated for the new capabilities.

Note that where rules were removed or moved in this version of this standard, a placeholder was left behind ("Removed in this version ...." or "Moved to Permision ....") in order to preserve the rule numbering from previous versions. This is intended to simplify the transition for both users and tool vendors in supporting what is a significant change.

In Annex B (Boundary Scan Description Language):

— The entire annex was rewritten for:

  i) Increased clarity of what was normative versus descriptive text
  ii) Increased consistency in presentation

— BSDL is no longer a "proper subset" of VHDL, but it is now "based on" VHDL. See B.4. In particular, new pin type keywords were introduced in B.8.3 that are not needed in VHDL but give a more accurate description of each port in BSDL.

— Formal definitions of language elements are included in B.5 instead of reliance on inheritance from VHDL.

— Some changes to the BNF notation used, including definition of all the special character tokens, are in B.6.

— Pin mapping in B.8.7 now allows for documenting that a port is not connected to any device package pin in a specific mapped device package.

— The boundary-scan register description in B.8.14 introduces new attributes for defining boundary-scan register segments, and introduces a requirement for documenting the behavior of an undriven input.

— New capabilities are introduced for documenting the structural details of test data registers:

  i) Subclause B.8.18 introduces the definition of mnemonics that may be associated with register fields.
  ii) Subclause B.8.19 introduces the ability to name fields within a register or segment.
  iii) Subclause B.8.20 introduces the ability to define the types of cells used in a test data register (TDR) field.
  iv) Subclause B.8.21 introduces the ability to hierarchically assemble segments into larger segments or whole registers.
  v) Subclause B.8.22 introduces the ability to define constraints on the values to be loaded in a register or register field.
  vi) Subclause B.8.23 introduces the ability to associate a register field or bit to specific ports and other information, and to associate a power port to other ports.

— The role of a User Defined Package defined in B.10 has been expanded to support logic IP providers who may need to document test data register segments contained within their IP.

A new annex, Annex C, codifies the Procedural Description Language (PDL), a new language for documenting the procedural and data requirements for some of the new instructions. As mentioned, this version of the standard introduces new instructions for configuring complex I/Os prior to entering the *EXTEST* instruction. As the data required for initialization could vary for each use of the component on each distinct board or system design, this

created the need for a new language for setting internal TDR register fields in order to configure the I/O. It was decided to adopt PDL and tailor it to the BSDL register descriptions and IEEE 1149.1 needs.

A new informative annex, Annex D, shows extended examples of BSDL and PDL used together to describe the structure and the procedures for use of new capabilities.

A new informative annex, Annex E, shows example pseudo-code for the execution of the PDL iApply command, the most complex of the new commands in PDL.

Contents

Figures

Tables

IEEE Standard for Test Access Port and Boundary-Scan Architecture

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

This standard defines test logic that can be included in an integrated circuit to provide standardized approaches to:

- Testing the interconnections between integrated circuits once they have been assembled onto a printed circuit board or other substrate
- Testing the integrated circuit itself
- Observing or modifying circuit activity during the component's normal operation

The test logic consists of a boundary-scan register and other building blocks and is accessed through a test access port (TAP).

1.2 Purpose

1.2.1 Overview of the operation of this standard

This subclause provides a general overview of the operation of a component compatible with this standard and provides a background to the detailed discussion in later clauses.

The circuitry defined by this standard allows test instructions (which take control of the component outputs and observe the component inputs) and associated test data to be fed into a component and, subsequently, allows the results of execution of such instructions to be read out. All information (instructions, test data, and test results) is communicated in a serial format.

The sequence of operations would be controlled by a bus master, which could be either an automatic test equipment (ATE) or a component that interfaces to a higher level test bus as a part of a complete system maintenance architecture. Control is achieved through signals applied to the test mode select (TMS) and test clock (TCK) inputs of the various components connected to the bus master. Starting from an initial state in which the test circuitry defined by this standard is inactive, a typical sequence of operations would be as follows.