

IEEE Standard for Digital Intelligence (DQ)—Framework for Digital Literacy, Skills, and Readiness

IEEE Computer Society

Developed by the
Learning Technology Standards Committee

IEEE Std 3527.1™-2020

IEEE Standard for Digital Intelligence (DQ)—Framework for Digital Literacy, Skills, and Readiness

Developed by the

Learning Technology Standards Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society

Approved 24 September 2020

IEEE SA Standards Board

Abstract: In today's digital age, technology has a firm grasp on practically every aspect of human life, consequently there is growing cross-sector demand to help individuals build digital competencies such as digital literacy, digital skills, and digital readiness. However, there is no universally accepted meaning of terms like "digital literacy," "digital skills," or "digital readiness," which can lead to difficulty coordinating efforts to improve digital competencies worldwide.

Digital Intelligence (DQ) was developed to encompass a comprehensive set of technical, cognitive, meta-cognitive, and socio-emotional competencies, which are grounded in universal moral values and enable individuals to face the challenges of digital life and adapt to its demands. The DQ Framework is comprised of 8 areas of digital life—identity, use, safety, security, emotional intelligence, literacy, communication, and rights—across 3 levels of experience—citizenship, creativity, and competitiveness.

The objective of this standard is to establish a DQ global standard that encompasses a common framework to ensure that digital competency building efforts are coordinated globally. It includes a common set of definitions, language, and understanding of digital literacy, skills, and readiness that can be adopted by all stakeholders worldwide, including national governments, the educational industry, the technology industry, international agencies, private companies, and society as a whole.

Keywords: community development, cyber-health, cyber-risk, digital citizenship, digital competency, digital intelligence, digital literacy, digital readiness, digital skills, IEEE 3527.1™, future-readiness, future skills, human capital development, skills development, technology design, technology education

The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
3 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5997, USA

Copyright © 2020 by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc.
All rights reserved. Published 13 January 2021. Printed in the United States of America.

IEEE is a registered trademark in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office, owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

PDF: ISBN 978-1-5044-7050-6 STD24405
Print: ISBN 978-1-5044-7051-3 STDPD24405

IEEE prohibits discrimination, harassment, and bullying.

For more information, visit <https://www.ieee.org/about/corporate/governance/p9-26.html>.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page (<https://standards.ieee.org/ipr/disclaimers.html>), appear in all standards and may be found under the heading “Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents.”

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE SA) Standards Board. IEEE develops its standards through an accredited consensus development process, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. IEEE Standards are documents developed by volunteers with scientific, academic, and industry-based expertise in technical working groups. Volunteers are not necessarily members of IEEE or IEEE SA, and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE makes no warranties or representations concerning its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties, express or implied, concerning this standard, including but not limited to the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement. In addition, IEEE does not warrant or represent that the use of the material contained in its standards is free from patent infringement. IEEE standards documents are supplied “AS IS” and “WITH ALL FAULTS.”

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity, nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: THE NEED TO PROCURE SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE is the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that the presenter's views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE, IEEE SA, the Standards Committee, or the Working Group.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE or IEEE SA. However, **IEEE does not provide interpretations, consulting information, or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents.**

Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its Societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments, or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in evaluating comments or in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group. You can indicate interest in a working group using the Interests tab in the Manage Profile and Interests area of the [IEEE SA myProject system](#). An IEEE Account is needed to access the application.

Comments on standards should be submitted using the [Contact Us](#) form.

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not constitute compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Data privacy

Users of IEEE Standards documents should evaluate the standards for considerations of data privacy and data ownership in the context of assessing and using the standards in compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under US and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate licensing fees, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400; <https://www.copyright.com/>. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every 10 years. When a document is more than 10 years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit [IEEE Xplore](#) or [contact IEEE](#). For more information about the IEEE SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE SA Website.

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the [IEEE SA Website](#). Search for standard number and year of approval to access the web page of the published standard. Errata links are located under the Additional Resources Details section. Errata are also available in [IEEE Xplore](#). Users are encouraged to periodically check for errata.

Patents

IEEE Standards are developed in compliance with the [IEEE SA Patent Policy](#).

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE SA Website at <https://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html>. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

IMPORTANT NOTICE

IEEE Standards do not guarantee or ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. IEEE Standards development activities consider research and information presented to the standards development group in developing any safety recommendations. Other information about safety practices, changes in technology or technology implementation, or impact by peripheral systems also may be pertinent to safety considerations during implementation of the standard. Implementers and users of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

Participants

At the time this standard was completed, the Shared Machine Learning Working Group had the following membership:

Yuhyun Park, Chair

<i>Organization Represented</i>	<i>Name of Representative</i>
Singapore Telecommunications Ltd.....	Chia Boon Chong
SkillsFuture Singapore Agency.....	Michael Fung
Turkcell İletişim Hizmetleri A.Ş.....	Feyza User

The following members of the entity Standards Association balloting group voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

0xSenses Corporation	Beckhoff Automation	Rockwell Automation
Anhubao Corporation	Ericsson AB	The Boeing Company
	Eduworks Corporation	

When the IEEE SA Standards Board approved this standard on 24 September 2020, it had the following membership:

Gary Hoffman, Chair
Jon Walter Rosdahl, Vice Chair
John D. Kulick, Past Chair
Konstantinos Karachalios, Secretary

Ted Burse	David J. Law	Mehmet Ulema
Doug Edwards	Howard Li	Lei Wang
J. Travis Griffith	Dong Liu	Sha Wei
Grace Gu	Kevin Lu	Philip B. Winston
Guido R. Hiertz	Paul Nikolich	Daidi Zhong
Joseph L. Koepfinger*	Damir Novosel	Jingyi Zhou
	Dorothy Stanley	

*Member Emeritus

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 3527.1-2020, IEEE Standard for Digital Intelligence (DQ)—Framework for Digital Literacy, Skills, and Readiness.

In an increasingly technology-oriented society, digital competencies such as digital literacy, digital skills, and digital readiness have become core requirements for the future- and job-readiness of individuals. The OECD [B20], the World Economic Forum (WEF) [B33], the World Bank [B30], and the United Nations [B30] have all identified these competencies as fundamental for our changing world.

However, compared to the exponential speed of connectivity and technology advances, implementation of effective digital competency education, training programs, and policies occur at a far slower pace, and this speed gap is increasingly growing.

Such gaps have yielded serious, unintended negative consequences for individuals as well as for society as a whole.

One of the most serious issues is the worldwide, high prevalence of cyber-risks among children such as cyberbullying, technology addiction, online grooming, the spread of digital misinformation, privacy invasion, security threats, and many others. According to the 2018 DQ Impact Report, more than 50% of 8- to 12-year-old children across 29 countries have been involved in at least one of the following cyber-risks: cyberbullying, video game addiction, offline meetings, and online sexual behavior [B6]. This report addressed the imperative to equip children with a holistic set of digital life skills to become ethical and discerning digital citizens who can proactively mitigate various cyber-risks, while maximizing the potential of technology.

On the other hand, the WEF’s 2018 Future of Jobs report [B33] stressed an “upskilling imperative” for the workforce in an increasingly digitized world. Without a doubt, a workforce sufficiently equipped with a comprehensive set of digital competencies would have a greater chance of standing to gain from new job opportunities arising from technological advances.

However, a lack of digital competencies among adults is another big issue for industries and nations. A digital skills readiness report published in 2016 by the UK Science and Technology Committee of the House of Commons concluded that 23% of the adult population in the UK lacks basic digital skills, which cost the national economy an estimated 63 billion pounds per year in lost GDP—a situation which the report referred to as a “digital skill crisis” [B8].

In summary, the digital competencies shall include not only the technical skills one might expect, but also comprehensive competencies that include digital safety, digital rights, and digital emotional intelligence. In other words, these competencies should allow people to not just use a computer or smartphone, but to deal with the modern social and economic challenges and demands resulting from technological advances.

Moreover, for communities already at the margins of society, the question of how digital inclusion and upskilling should be addressed cannot be underestimated. Evidence of an ever-widening digital competency gap among people in developing countries, underprivileged communities of low socioeconomic status, women, seniors, and/or children changes the calculus in our understanding of where to channel resources for skill-building programs and initiatives; the socioeconomic and political implications of escalating economic and social inequalities are huge. Here, the imperative is immediate and requires scalable and sustainable efforts.

In order to address these digital competency gaps, today, governments, companies, and organizations are spending millions of dollars on digital competency education, and training. However, at present, there is no shared, global understanding of what terms such as “digital literacy,” “digital skills,” and “digital readiness” mean. Across sectors, for example, “digital skills,” “digital literacy,” “digital readiness,” and “digital

competency” are used interchangeably; technology developers often use the term “digital skills,” where “skill” is a component of a “competency” used by educators and academia. In contrast, the term “digital literacy” as commonly used by the education community is categorized as one of many “skills” in the industry community.

This leads to the use of different—but overlapping—terminologies and initiatives across different sectors, communities, and nations. This predicament leads to current efforts lacking coordination, scalability, and comprehensive scope. At present, addressing how to sustain and improve best practices is difficult, if not impossible.

Moreover, it also makes meaningful monitoring and reporting difficult. In the absence of a common understanding of digital competencies including digital literacy, skills and readiness, we leave ourselves unequipped not only to understand the current progress of digital competency movements in the world today, but also to grapple with what forms of digital competency should be taught and to whom.

For the world to build comprehensive digital competencies with speed, scalability, and sustainability, there is an urgent need for effective coordination and consensus toward building a common framework with a set of definitions, structure, and taxonomy.

To address these needs, the Coalition for Digital Intelligence (CDI), a platform created in association with the World Economic Forum (WEF) and formed jointly by the DQ Institute, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and IEEE Standards Association (IEEE), was started on 26 September 2018 with the aim of establishing a global, common language and set of norms around digital competencies, and coordinating global actions.

As part of its efforts, this 2019 DQ Global Standards Report is the first attempt to define the DQ Framework as the common framework of digital literacy, skills, and readiness that can be globally used as a reference framework across the education and technology sectors. Subsequently, the DQ Global Standards Report shall be published on an annual basis with updated framework based on new knowledge, best practices, and feedback that is aggregated through the CDI network while staying attuned to new technology advances.

Contents

1. Overview	11
1.1 Scope	11
1.2 Purpose	11
1.3 Word usage	11
2. Definitions, acronyms, and abbreviations	12
2.1 Definitions	12
2.2 Acronyms and abbreviations	12
3. Characteristics of digital intelligence	12
3.1 Overarching concept	12
3.2 Aggregating leading frameworks	13
3.3 Adaptable framework	13
4. Structure and taxonomy	13
4.1 General	13
4.2 Eight areas of DQ	14
4.3 Three levels of DQ	15
5. DQ competencies	16
5.1 Overview	16
5.2 Definitions of competencies in the DQ Framework	16
5.3 Taxonomy of competencies in the DQ Framework	18
Annex A (informative) Bibliography	34
Annex B (informative) Existing frameworks included in the DQ Framework	36

IEEE Standard for Digital Intelligence (DQ)—Framework for Digital Literacy, Skills, and Readiness

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

Digital intelligence is a comprehensive set of technical, cognitive, meta-cognitive, and socio-emotional competencies that enable individuals to face the challenges of and harness the opportunities of digital life. The digital intelligence standard establishes a framework that encompasses digital literacy, skills, and readiness, comprising eight areas of digital life—identity, use, safety, security, emotional intelligence, literacy, communication, and rights—across three levels of experience—citizenship, creativity, and competitiveness.

1.2 Purpose

The purpose of this standard is to establish a global standard with a common framework to help ensure that digital literacy and competency efforts are coordinated globally. This standard represents a global framework for digital intelligence, which includes a common set of definitions, language, and understanding of digital literacy, skills, and readiness that can be adopted by all stakeholders worldwide, including national governments, education industry, technology industry, companies, and society as a whole.

1.3 Word usage

The word *shall* indicates mandatory requirements strictly to be followed in order to conform to the standard and from which no deviation is permitted (*shall* equals *is required to*).^{1,2}

The word *should* indicates that among several possibilities one is recommended as particularly suitable, without mentioning or excluding others; or that a certain course of action is preferred but not necessarily required (*should* equals *is recommended that*).

The word *may* is used to indicate a course of action permissible within the limits of the standard (*may* equals *is permitted to*).

The word *can* is used for statements of possibility and capability, whether material, physical, or causal (*can* equals *is able to*).

¹The use of the word *must* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *must* is used only to describe unavoidable situations.

²The use of *will* is deprecated and cannot be used when stating mandatory requirements; *will* is only used in statements of fact.