



BSI Standards Publication

# Space — Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS)

---

Part 2: Assessment of basic performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals

## National foreword

This British Standard is the UK implementation of EN 16803-2:2020.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

This publication does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

© The British Standards Institution 2020  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2020

ISBN 978 0 539 01835 6

ICS 33.060.30; 03.220.20; 35.240.60

**Compliance with a British Standard cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This British Standard was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 September 2020.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

EUROPEAN STANDARD

**EN 16803-2**

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

September 2020

ICS 03.220.20; 33.060.30; 35.240.60

English version

## Space - Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) - Part 2: Assessment of basic performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals

Espace - Utilisation du positionnement GNSS pour les systèmes de transport routier intelligents (ITS) - Partie 2 : Évaluation des performances de base des terminaux de positionnement GNSS


Raumfahrt - Anwendung von GNSS-basierter Ortung für Intelligente Transportsysteme (ITS) im Straßenverkehr - Teil 2: Bestimmung der grundlegenden Leistungen von GNSS-basierten Ortungsendgeräten

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 15 June 2020.

CEN and CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN and CENELEC member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN and CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
European foreword .....	5
Introduction .....	6
1 Scope.....	8
2 Normative references.....	8
3 Terms and definitions .....	9
3.1 Definitions .....	9
3.2 Acronyms .....	10
4 Overview of the whole assessment process .....	11
4.1 Definition of the general strategy: what kind of tests.....	11
4.1.1 Rationale .....	11
4.1.2 Record and Replay choice .....	12
4.2 Construction of the operational scenarios: how to configure the tests.....	13
4.2.1 General.....	13
4.2.2 Basic principles.....	13
4.2.3 Definition of the operational scenarios .....	15
4.3 Definition of the test facilities: which equipment to use .....	20
4.3.1 For the record phase.....	20
4.3.2 For the replay phase .....	21
4.4 Description of the record phase: how to elaborate the data sets of the test scenarios .....	21
4.4.1 General.....	21
4.4.2 Test plan.....	21
4.4.3 Test bench preparation and good functioning verification.....	22
4.4.4 Field test execution .....	22
4.4.5 Data control and archiving.....	22
4.5 Replay phase: assessing the DUT performances.....	24
5 Definition of the metrics.....	24
5.1 General considerations.....	24
5.2 Basic notation.....	25
5.3 Time interpolation procedure .....	25
5.4 Accuracy metrics .....	26
5.5 Availability and Continuity metrics.....	27
5.6 Integrity metrics.....	32
5.6.1 Definition of the Protection Level performance metrics.....	32
5.6.2 Definition of the Misleading Information Rate metrics .....	33
5.7 Timing metrics.....	34
5.7.1 Timestamp resolution.....	34
5.7.2 Nominal output latency .....	34
5.7.3 Nominal output rate.....	34
5.7.4 Output latency stability .....	34
5.7.5 Output rate stability.....	35
5.7.6 Time to first fix.....	36

<b>6</b>	<b>Description of the replay phase: how to assess the DUT performances.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>General .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Checking of the content of the test scenario .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Setting-up of the replay test-bench .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Validation of the data processing HW and SW by the RF test laboratory.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Replaying of the data.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>6.6</b>	<b>Computation of the ACAI performances.....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>6.7</b>	<b>Computation of the TTFP performances .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>6.8</b>	<b>Establishment of the final test report.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Definition of the validation procedures: how to be sure of the results (checks) .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>Definition of the validation .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Pass/Fail criteria for the verification of the test procedures .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Definition of the synthesis report: how to report the results of the tests.....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>Annex A (informative)</b>	<b>Homologation framework.....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>A.1</b>	<b>The road value chain .....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>A.2</b>	<b>Roles of the different stakeholders.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>A.3</b>	<b>Responsibilities of the different stakeholders.....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Annex B (informative)</b>	<b>Detailed criteria for the testing strategy (trade-off) .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>B.1</b>	<b>Main criteria for testing strategy .....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>B.2</b>	<b>Metrological quality.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>B.2.1</b>	<b>Reproductibility.....</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>B.2.2</b>	<b>Representativeness.....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>B.2.3</b>	<b>Reliability .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>B.3</b>	<b>Cost efficiency .....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>B.3.1</b>	<b>Cost of test benches.....</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>B.3.2</b>	<b>Cost of the test operations.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>B.4</b>	<b>Clarity in the sharing of responsibilities.....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>B.5</b>	<b>Scenario-management authority .....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Annex C (informative)</b>	<b>Record and replay testing considerations.....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>C.1</b>	<b>General .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>C.2</b>	<b>Experimentation considerations .....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>C.3</b>	<b>Equipment justification.....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>C.3.1</b>	<b>Equipment for in-field data collection .....</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>C.3.2</b>	<b>Record and Replay Solutions.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>C.3.3</b>	<b>Recommended equipment .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>C.4</b>	<b>Presentation of a scenario: rush time in Toulouse.....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>C.5</b>	<b>Quality of the reference trajectory .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>C.6</b>	<b>Availability, regularity of the DUT's outputs for the metrics computations .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Annex D (informative)</b>	<b>Perspectives on record and replay of hybridized GBPT .....</b>	<b>79</b>

<b>Annex E (informative) Considerations on coordinate systems, reference frames and projections.....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Bibliography.....</b>	<b>87</b>

## European foreword

This document (EN 16803-2:2020) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN-CENELEC/TC 5 “Space”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by March 2021, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by March 2021.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.


This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN and CENELEC by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

## Introduction

The EN 16803 series of CEN-CENELEC standards deals with the use of GNSS technology in the intelligent transport domain and address more particularly the issue of performance assessment.

As recalled in the generic functional architecture of a road ITS based on GNSS, two main sub-systems can be considered: the positioning system (GNSS-based positioning terminal (GBPT) + external sources of data) and the road ITS application processing the position quantities output by the terminal to deliver the final service to the user.



**Figure 1 — Generic functional architecture of a Positioning-based road ITS system**

This document is the second one of the EN 16803 series.

The performance assessment issue can also be considered at these two levels.

According to Figure 3 in the Introduction of EN 16803-1, the performances of the application cannot be assessed independently from the GBPT and the adequacy of the GBPT's performances to the end-to-end performance of the system cannot be assessed independently from the application. For these two kinds of assessment, the EN 16803-1 standard proposed a method called "Sensitivity analysis". In addition, this first document defined the generic architecture, the generic terms and the basic performance metrics for the Positioning quantities.

EN 16803-1 can be of interest for many different stakeholders but is targeting mainly the ITS application developers.



EN 16803-2, EN 16803-3 and EN 16803-4 address specifically the performances of the GBPT itself, as they can be measured by the metrics defined in EN 16803-1:

- EN 16803-2 proposes a test methodology based on the replay in the lab of real data sets recorded during field tests, assuming no security attack during the test.
- EN 16803-3 proposes a complement to this test methodology to assess the performance degradation when the GNSS signal-in-space (SIS) is affected by intentional radio-frequency (RF) perturbations such as jamming, spoofing or meaconing, also applicable to unintentional RF perturbations.

These 2 (two) ENs are targeting mainly the generalist RF test laboratory that will be in charge of assessing the performances of GBPTs for different applications.

EN 16803-4 (in preparation) will propose the methodology for the recording of the real data sets and is targeting mainly the GNSS-specialized test laboratories that will be in charge of elaborating the test scenarios that will be replayed by the previous category of test laboratories.

## 1 Scope

Like the other documents of the whole series, this document deals with the use of GNSS-based positioning terminals (GBPT) in road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS). GNSS-based positioning means that the system providing position data, more precisely Position, Velocity and Time (PVT) data, comprises at least a GNSS receiver and, potentially, for performance improvement, other additional sensor data or sources of information that can be hybridized with GNSS data.

This new document proposes testing procedures, based on the replay of data recorded during field tests, to assess the basic performances of any GBPT for a given use case described by an operational scenario. These tests address the basic performance features **Availability, Continuity, Accuracy** and **Integrity** of the PVT information, but also the **Time-To-First-Fix** (TTFF) performance feature, as they are described in EN 16803-1, considering that there is no particular security attack affecting the SIS during the operation. This document does not cover the assessment tests of the timing performances other than TTFF, which do not need field data and can preferably be executed in the lab with current instruments.

“Record and Replay” (R&R) tests consist in replaying in a laboratory environment GNSS SIS data, and potentially additional sensor data, recorded in specific operational conditions thanks to a specific test vehicle. The data set comprising GNSS SIS data and potential sensor data resulting from these field tests, together with the corresponding metadata description file, is called a “**test scenario**”. A data set is composed of several data files.

This EN 16803-2 addresses the “**Replay**” part of the test scenario data set. It does not address the “Record” part, although it describes as informative information the whole R&R process. This “Record” part will be covered by EN 16803-4 under preparation.

Although the EN 16803 series concerns the GNSS-based positioning terminals and not only the GNSS receivers, the present release of this document addresses only the replay process of **GNSS only terminals**. The reason is that the process of replaying in the lab additional sensor data, especially when these sensors are capturing the vehicle’s motion, is generally very complex and not mature enough to be standardized today. It would need open standardized interfaces in the GBPT as well as standardized sensor error models and is not ready to be standardized. But, the procedure described in the present EN has been designed to be extended to GBPT hybridizing GNSS and vehicle sensors in the future.

This EN 16803-2 does not address R&R tests when specific radio frequency signals simulating security attacks are added to the SIS. This case is specifically the topic of EN 16803-3.

Once standardized assessment tests procedures have been established, it is possible to set minimum performance requirements for various intelligent transport applications but it makes sense to separate the assessment tests issue from minimum performance requirements, because the same test procedure may be applicable to many applications, but the minimum performance requirements typically vary from one application to another. **So, this document does not set minimum performance requirements for any application.**

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 16803-1:2016, *Space - Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) - Part 1: Definitions and system engineering procedures for the establishment and assessment of performances*

EN 16803-3, *Space — Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) — Part 3: Assessment field tests for security performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals*