INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC 19763-6

First edition 2015-09-01

Information technology — Metamodel framework for interoperability (MFI) —

Part 6: **Registry Summary**

Technologies de l'information — Cadre du métamodèle pour l'interopérabilité (MFI) —

Partie 6: Résumé Registry





COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

 $\, @ \,$ ISO/IEC 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland Tel. +41 22 749 01 11 Fax +41 22 749 09 47 copyright@iso.org www.iso.org

Contents

Page

Forewo	ord	v
Introdu	uction	.vi
1	Scope	1
2 2.1 2.2 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.3	Conformance General Degree of conformance General Strictly conforming Conforming implementation Implementation Conformance Statement (ICS)	1 1 2
3	Normative references	2
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 5 5.1	Terms, definitions and abbreviated item	2 3 4
5.2 6	Role of the Registry SummaryStruct of Registry Summary	
6.1 6.2 6.3 6.3.1	Overview of Registry Summary Associations between Registry Summary and Core_Model package from MFI-10 Metaclasses in the Registry_Summary package Classification metaclass	5 6 7
6.3.2 6.3.3 6.3.4 6.3.5 6.3.6 6.3.7 6.3.8 6.3.9 6.3.10	Contact metaclass Contents metaclass Document metaclass Interface metaclass Organization metaclass Other_Standard_Model metaclass Registry metaclass Registry_Component metaclass Related_Registry metaclass	8 8 9 .10 .11
6.3.11	Registry_Summary_Language A (informative) Types of a registry system for Registry Summary	15
	Introduction Types of a registry system for Registry Summary General Independent Registry Replicated Registry Federated Registry	16 16 16 16
B.1 B.2 B.2.1 B.2.2 B.2.3	B (informative) Example of using models of other standard in Registry Summary Introduction	18 18 18 19
Annex	C (informative) Example of XML Schema for Registry Summary	20

ISO/IEC 19763-6:2015(E)

C.1	Introduction	20
C.2	XML Schema definition	20
C.2.1	General	20
	ComplexType definition	
	Sample element definition	
Annex	D (informative) Example of indicator of Registry Summary	25
D.1	Introduction	25
	Location of Registry Summary in META tag	

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 19763-6 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Data Management and Interchange*.

ISO/IEC 19763 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information Technology — Metamodel framework for interoperability (MFI)*:

- Part 1: Framework
- Part 3: Metamodel for ontology registration
- Part 5: Metamodel for process model registration
- Part 6: Registry Summary (this document)
- Part 7: Metamodel for service model registration
- Part 8: Metamodel for role and goal model registration
- Part 9: On demand model selection [Technical Report]
- Part 10: Core model and basic mapping
- Part 12: Metamodel for information model registration
- Part 13: Metamodel for form design registration

Introduction

The effective interchange of information across business domains, countries and cultures is an important concern for people in both the IT industry and non-IT industries.

To follow the current trends, industrial consortia have engaged in the standardization of domain-specific business objects, including business process models and software components using common modelling tools and interchange facilities such as UML and XML. They are very active in standardizing domain-specific business process models and standard modelling constructs such as data elements, entity profiles and value domains.

The ISO/IEC 19763 family of standards defines normative metamodels for the registration of models (including information models and process models), ontologies, services and roles & goals. Items or objects specified by those metamodels have been registered into particular registry systems. In order to perform effective exchange of pertinent information smoothly, individual registry systems need to interoperate with other registry systems.

This part of ISO/IEC 19763 defines a metamodel for the use case in which registry systems of different kinds must share information.

Information Technology — Metamodel framework for interoperability (MFI) — Part 6: Registry Summary

1 Scope

The ISO/IEC 19763 family of standards defines normative metamodels for the registration of models (including information models and process models), ontologies, services and roles & goals. Currently a lot of metadata registries or model registries were constructed and utilized in many different business domains, such as e-business, healthcare, automobile, electronics devices and civil construction.

One of the key issues for the cross domain data or services integration must be enabling the easy discovery of metadata that are stored in the different registries that were scattered over different domains. Therefore, it is necessary to provide specific metadata that describes the registry itself in order to enable interoperation among different registries that were built following different standards.

This part of the ISO/IEC 19763 family of standards specifies an information artefact called the Registry Summary. The Registry Summary consists of information that describes administrative aspects, the summary of contents and the technical access method of the registry.

A collection of multiple Registry Summary information is called a "Registry of Registries" or RoR, however, this standard does not mandate a particular implementation. Also, any specific protocol between Registry Summaries and RoR, such as creation of RoR and synchronization of RoR, would not be specified in this standard. Those are to be specified by other standards.

The Registry Summary and RoR concept should be applied to all Metamodel Framework for Interoperability (MFI) registries, but its use may be applied to any kind of registries.

2 Conformance

2.1 General

An implementation claiming conformance with this part of ISO/IEC 19763 shall support the metamodel specified in clause 6, depending on a degree of conformance as described below.

2.2 Degree of conformance

2.2.1 General

The distinction between "strictly conforming" and "conforming" implementations is necessary to address the simultaneous needs for interoperability and extensions. This part of ISO/IEC 19763 describes specifications that promote interoperability. Extensions are motivated by needs of users, vendors, institutions and industries, but are not specified by this part of ISO/IEC 19763.

A strictly conforming implementation may be limited in usefulness but is maximally interoperable with respect to this part of ISO/IEC 19763. A conforming implementation may be more useful, but may be less interoperable with respect to this part of ISO/IEC 19763.